Special Session of 2005

## SENATE RESOLUTION No. 1804

By Committee on Ways and Means

6-23

A RESOLUTION concerning the Augenblick & Myers school funding study.

WHEREAS, In 2001, the legislature contracted with the consulting firm of Augenblick & Myers to provide an evaluation as to of the cost of a "suitable education" for Kansas students, as required by the Kansas constitution. This study was used extensively by the district and supreme courts in their determinations as to what constitutes a suitable education—This study recommended huge increases in education funding, which has been the case in the several studies produced by this firm; and

WHEREAS, Augenblick & Myers studies in other states have resulted in huge recommendations in increased spending from \$750 million in Nebraska to \$1.3 billion in Maryland; and

WHEREAS, The study provided two cost approaches, the successful school district approach, which analyzed the school spending of 85 Kansas school districts deemed to be successful, and the professional judgment approach, which contained the wish list of a group of 59 educators and proeducation activists. Needless to say, the professional judgment approach resulted in base costs which were 56% to 87% higher than the actual costs of those districts already considered to be successful districts. Strangely, the study concluded no funding was needed for salary increases for teachers; and

WHEREAS, The legislature takes no action on several hundred bills each year, which reflects the lack of support for these bills; likewise having taken no action on the Augenblick & Myers study indicates the lack of support of the study by the legislature receives and considers hundreds of pieces of legislation and studies each year, and it is common practice to accept parts or pieces of a bill or a study while rejecting the rest: Now, therefore,

Be it resolved by the Senate of the State of Kansas: That the body has considered does not accept the Augenblick & Myers school funding study to be unreliable and lacking credibility and the study was unfortunately relied upon by the courts in their call for expensive and expansive changes to a system which currently produces students performing in the

- top ten states in the country as the benchmark for funding public
  education in Kansas.