Administration

Consequences of Not Funding this Program

The Administration program provides for the overall management and operational control of the facility. This program includes the warden, human resources, mailroom, policy and compliance, staff development, and fiscal. Not funding this program would eliminate the leadership and support functions necessary to operate the facility.

Statutory Basis	Mandatory vs.	MOE/Match Rqt.	Priority Level
General KSA 75-	Mandatory	No	1
5201, 75-			
5202, 75-			
5206, 75-			
5246, 75-			

Program Goals

A. Operate and maintain a personnel system in accordance with state and departmental regulations, ensuring that positions are classified appropriately and that vacant positions are filled in a timely B. Operate programs for existing and new employees that provide the training required by state law and departmental regulations.

Program History

The Kansas State Penitentiary was authorized by Article VII, Section 2, of the Kansas Constitution in 1859. On November 19, 1861, the land for the prison was purchased in Leavenworth County and construction on the prison started in 1864, near the site of the old Oklahoma Territorial Prison. Completion was delayed by the Civil War. Additional ground purchases were made in the late 1800s and early 1900s. In 1917 the Legislature established the State Industrial Farm for Women at Lansing. This institution was later renamed the Kansas Correctional Institution at Lansing (KCIL) and later housed both male and female residents. In 1995 the female residents were transferred to the Topeka Correctional Facility. To address the growing inmate population, a medium security facility was constructed next to the original maximum-security facility in the 1980s. In 1990 KCIL and the Kansas State Penitentiary were consolidated and renamed the Lansing Correctional Facility. In 2018 the medium security facility was demolished and a new facility to house maximum and medium custody offenders was constructed on the site. The same project also replaced the minimum-security East Unit (the former KCIL) with a new 512-bed unit. Residents were moved into the new minimum unit in December 2019 and the new medium/maximum unit was occupied in April 2020.

Performance Measures

Outcome Measures	Goal	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	3- yr. Avg.	FY 2022	FY 2023
Turnover Rates - Uniformed	Α	21.8%	22.9%	27.1%	23.9%	33.3%	247.5%
2. Turnover Rates - Non-Uniformed	Α	23.3%	27.8%	25.8%	25.6%	24.7%	19.4%
Output Measures							
Average Daily Population		1,923	1,762	1,762	1,816	2,142	2,142

Funding

Funding Source	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023
State General Fund	\$ 2,826,008	\$ 2,769,449	\$ 2,673,822	\$ 2,095,323	\$ 2,246,989	\$2,251,432
Non-SGF State	-	-	-	-	-	-
Federal Funds	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	\$ 2,826,008	\$ 2,769,449	\$ 2,673,822	\$ 2,095,323	\$ 2,246,989	\$2,251,432

Security

Consequences of Not Funding this Program

The Security program include salaries and wages for all uniformed security officers and operating expenses, such as clothing, drug testing, and security equipment. This program is essential to operating the facility.

Statutory Basis	Mandatory	MOE/Match	Priority
Statutory Basis	vs.	Rqt.	Level
General KSA 75-5201, 75-5202,	Mandatory	No	1
75-5206, 75-5246, 75-			
5252, 75-5253, 75-5256,			
75-52,131			

Program Goals

- A. To maintain an effective posture of physical/perimeter security as measured by the KDOC Security Inspection and accreditation audits.
- B. To effectively control unsanctioned prison groups in the prison population through proper identification, tracking, intelligence gathering techniques, and management strategies.
- C. To maintain a safe environment for incarcerated offenders.

Program History

See the Administration program.

Performance Measures

Output Measures	Goa	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	3- yr. Avg.	FY 2022	FY 2023
Number of inmates involved in escape by facility type							
Secure	Α	0	0	0	0	0	0
Non-Secure	Α	1	1	0	1	0	0
2. Number of escape events and number of inmates involved by security custody level						0	0
Secure	Α	1/1	1/1	0	1/1	0	0
Non-Secure	Α	0	0	0	0	0	0
3. Number of apprehensions							
Secure	Α	0	0	0		0	0
Non-Secure	Α	1	1	0		0	0
 Number of validated security hreat group members as dentified. 	В	0	15	0	5	10	10
 Number of gang related activities/disruptions based on neident reports and facility activity reports. 	В	16	12	2	10	10	10
6. Number of inmate-on-inmate assaults/batteries by custody level (injury/non-injury).							
Minimum	С	6/0	2/0	5/0	4/3	2/0	2/0
Medium	С	2/0	4/0	23/2	10/1	8/0	6/0
Maximum	С	75/6	74/3	47/2	65/4	77/0	77/0
7. Number of inmate-on-staff patteries by custody level, which mave been referred for criminal prosecution (injury/non-injury).							
Minimum	С	0/0	0/0	0/0	0/0	0/0	0/0

Medium	С	0/0	0/0	0/0	0/0	0/0	0/0
Maximum	С	2/0	4/0	2/1	3/1	2/2	2/2
8. Number of disruptive events	С	4	7	0	4	0	0
Number of substantiated inmate on-inmate sexual assaults	С	0	0	0	0	0	0
10. Number of substantiated staff- on-inmate sexual assaults.	С	0	0	0	0	0	0

Funding

Funding Source	FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023
State General Fund	\$ 24,087,271	\$ 24,644,593	\$ 26,163,121	\$ 13,523,020	\$ 22,644,707	\$ 22,652,293
Non-SGF State Funds	-	-	-	-	-	-
Federal Funds	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	\$ 24,087,271	\$ 24,644,593	\$ 26,163,121	\$ 13,523,020	\$ 22,644,707	\$ 22,652,293

Note: In FY 2021, \$9,227,381 was expended from the Coronavirus Relief Fund (CRF) for security salaries and wages in addition to the SGF expenditures shown above. Expenditures from the CRF are recorded in the COVID-19 program code.

Inmate Transportation

Consequences of Not Funding this Program

Transportation between correctional facilities, to and from other jurisdictions in the state, and out-of-state is coordinated through Central Transportation Coordinator at the Hutchinson Correctional Facility. Transportation hubs are also located at the Lansing and Norton Correctional Facilities. Elimination of this program would result in a decentralized system operated by individual facilities.

Stat	tutory Basis	Mandatory vs.	MOE/Match	Priority	
Stat	lutory Dasis	Discretionary	Rqt.	Level	
General KS	SA 75-5206	Discretionary	No	2	

Program Goals

A. To provide for the safe and secure transportation of inmates during inter-facility transfers and of those inmates being returned for parole violations. В.

Program History

The Transportation Unit began operation in March 1989 to provide for the orderly and secure movement of inmates utilizing a regularly scheduled program of transportation, while employing needed security measures to prevent escape and ensure the safety of escort personnel and the public. The unit was originally divided into two hub operations; one hub was located at the Lansing Correctional Facility and the other at the Hutchinson Correctional Facility. Early in 1998, a third hub was established at Norton Correctional Facility.

Performance Measures

Output Measures	Goal	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	3- yr. Avg.	FY 2022	FY 2023
Total number of inmates	Α	3,944	2,203	3,947	3,365	2,900	2,900
transported							
2. Total number of miles traveled	Α	116,729	117,372	145,745	126,615	117,000	117,000

Funding

Funding Source		F	Y 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023
State General Fund		\$	371,131	\$ 444,134	\$ 483,452	\$ 293,299	\$ 462,254	\$ 462,466
Non-SGF State Funds			-	-	-	-	-	-
Federal Funds			-	-	-	-	-	-
	Total	\$	371.131	\$ 444 134	\$ 483,452	\$ 293,299	\$ 462.254	\$ 462 466

Classification & Programs

Consequences of Not Funding this Program

This program includes Classification and Records and various support functions. Classification and Records are responsible for the reporting and recording of all pertinent information regarding the movement and progress of residents at the facility, to included establishment of legal authority to incarcerate, movement, behavior, progress, disciplinary history, and program participation. Under the coordination of the unit teams, an individualized treatment program is developed, implemented, and maintained for each resident. Each resident, as well as facility staff, is kept aware of the resident's status within the correctional process. This program provides direct case management to the inmates, holding them accountable for their behavior, while identifying and localizing problems within each unit. Also include in this program are chaplain services, library services, and recreation. Not funding this program would eliminate the processes and activities that are critical to appropriate placement, documentation, and treatment plan development and implementation.

Statutory Basis		Mandatory vs. Discretionary		MOE/Match Rqt.			ority vel
Specific KSA 75-5210, 75-5210 75-5211		Mandatory		No	•		1
			Program Go	oals			
C			Program His	story			
See the Administration progran	ı.						
		Per	rformance M	easures			
Output Measures	Goal	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	3- yr. Avg.	FY 2022	FY 2023

Output Measures	Goal	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	3- yr. Avg.	FY 2022	FY 2023
4. Percentage of inmates							
available for work who are employed; percentage of inmates							
unemployed due to no jobs							
Inmates employed	Α	72.0%	70.0%	65.0%	69.0%	73.0%	75.0%
Inmates unemployed – no jobs available	A	13.0%	25.0%	33.0%	23.7%	26.0%	23.0%

Funding Funding Source FY 2018 FY 2019 FY 2020 FY 2021 FY 2022 FY 2023 State General Fund 3,949,454 3,729,950 3,453,382 3,250,559 3,728,077 Non-SGF State Funds Federal Funds Total 3,729,950 \$ 3,453,382 3,250,559

\$

Support Services

Consequences of Not Funding this Program

Functions included in this program consist of maintenance, laundry, warehouse operations. Also included in this program are utility expenditures. Not funding the support services program would eliminate funding necessary for the operation and maintenance of the facility.

Statutory Basis General KSA 75-5201; 75-52,125		Mandatory vs.MOE/MatchDiscretionaryRqt.DiscretionaryNo				Priority Level 1								
Program Goals														
A. None B. C.														
			Program His	tory										
See the Administration program	n.													
Performance Measures														
Outcome Measures	Goal	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	3- yr. Avg.	FY 2022	FY 2023							
1. Outcome Measure #1														
2. Outcome measure #2														
3. Outcome measure comparing outcomes to dollars														
Output Measures 4. Additional Output Measure	-													
Additional Output Measure Additional Output Measure														
3. Additional Odiput Measure														
Funding														
Funding Source		FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023							
State General Fund		\$ 7,291,067	\$ 5,968,975	\$ 6,204,325	\$ 4,137,939	\$ 4,094,536	\$ 4,174,380							
Non-SGF State Funds		76,738	119,983	93,355	190,000	250,000	225,000							
Federal Funds		-	-	-	-	-	-							
Total		\$ 7,367,805	\$ 6,088,958	\$ 6,297,680	\$ 4,327,939	\$ 4,344,536	\$ 4,399,380							

Capital Improvements

Consequences of Not Funding this Program

The capital improvement program is used solely for budgeting and recording expenditures related to rehabilitation and repair projects. KDOC central office is appropriated \$4,920,000 from the Correctional Institutions Building Fund annually for rehabilitation and repair projects throughout the KDOC system. Funds are transferred to the facility as projects are approved. Eliminating this program would prohibit the Department from making repairs, upgrades, and improvements to the facilities.

1. Outcome Measure #1 2. Outcome measure comparing outcomes to dollars Output Measures 4. Additional Output Measure 5. Additional Output Measure Funding Funding Fy 2018 Fy 2019 Fy 2020 Fy 2021 Fy 2022 Fy 202 State General Fund Non-SGF State Funds Federal Funds	Statutory Basis General KSA 75-5210, KSA 52,125		Mandatory vs. <u>Discretionary</u> Discretionary		MOE/Match Rqt. No		Priority Level 2							
Performance Measures														
Performance Measures	В.	getary an	d accounting	purposes onl	y.									
Performance Measures	Program History													
Outcome Measures Goal FY 2019 FY 2020 FY 2021 3- yr. Avg. FY 2022 FY 2022 1. Outcome Measure #1 2. Outcome measure #2 3. Outcome measure comparing outcomes to dollars 4. Additional Output Measures 4. Additional Output Measure 4. Additional Output Measure	None		<u> </u>	•										
1. Outcome Measure #1 2. Outcome measure comparing outcomes to dollars Output Measures 4. Additional Output Measure 5. Additional Output Measure Funding Funding Fy 2018 Fy 2019 Fy 2020 Fy 2021 Fy 2022 Fy 202 State General Fund Non-SGF State Funds Federal Funds	Performance Measures													
2. Outcome measure #2 3. Outcome measure comparing outcomes to dollars Output Measures 4. Additional Output Measure 5. Additional Output Measure Funding Funding Fy 2018 Fy 2019 Fy 2020 Fy 2021 Fy 2022 Fy 202 State General Fund Non-SGF State Funds Federal Funds	Outcome Measures	Goal	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	3- yr. Avg.	FY 2022	FY 2023						
3. Outcome measure comparing outcomes to dollars Output Measures 4. Additional Output Measure 5. Additional Output Measure Funding Funding Source FY 2018 FY 2019 FY 2020 FY 2021 FY 2022 FY 202 State General Fund Non-SGF State Funds 254,777 460,896 1,142,904 280,231 - Federal Funds	•													
Outcomes to dollars Output Measures 4. Additional Output Measure Funding 5. Additional Output Measure Funding FY 2018 FY 2019 FY 2020 FY 2021 FY 2022 FY 2022 State General Fund \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ \$ - \$ \$ Non-SGF State Funds 254,7777 460,896 1,142,904 280,231 - Federal Funds														
Output Measures 4. Additional Output Measure 5. Additional Output Measure Funding Funding Source FY 2018 FY 2019 FY 2020 FY 2021 FY 2022 FY 2022 State General Fund \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ \$ Non-SGF State Funds 254,777 460,896 1,142,904 280,231 - Federal Funds														
Funding Funding Funding Source FY 2018 FY 2019 FY 2020 FY 2021 FY 2022 FY 2022 State General Fund \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ \$ - \$ \$ - \$ \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ -	outcomes to dollars	 												
Funding Funding Funding Source FY 2018 FY 2019 FY 2020 FY 2021 FY 2022 FY 2022 State General Fund \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ \$ - \$ \$ - \$ \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ -	Output Measures	 												
Funding Funding Source FY 2018 FY 2019 FY 2020 FY 2021 FY 2022 FY 2022 <td></td> <td>-</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>		-												
Funding Source FY 2018 FY 2019 FY 2020 FY 2021 FY 2022 FY 2022 State General Fund \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ \$ - \$ \$ - \$ \$ - \$ \$ Non-SGF State Funds 254,777 460,896 1,142,904 280,231 - Federal Funds	5. Additional Output Measure													
State General Fund \$ - \$ - \$ - \$ \$ - \$ \$ - \$ \$ - \$ \$ - \$ </td <td colspan="12">Funding</td>	Funding													
Non-SGF State Funds 254,777 460,896 1,142,904 280,231 - Federal Funds - - - - - -	Funding Source		FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023						
Federal Funds			\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -						
<u> </u>			254,777	460,896	1,142,904	280,231	-	-						
Total \$ 254,777 \$ 460,896 \$ 1,142,904 \$ 280,231 \$ - \$			\$ 254.777	-	-	-	<u>-</u> \$ -	-						