Administration

Consequences of Not Funding this Program

- ·Lack of oversight of KDOR core functions.
- •Inability to adhere to State policies and procedures for accounting, procurement, and personnel management.
- •Unable to handle administrative and court matters and legal analysis in-house.
- •Reduced ability to manage vital tax, vehicle, and credential information.
- Fiscal notes, open records requests, and statistical reports not completed in a timely manner.
- •Non-compliance with state and local tax statutes and taxpayers not remitting the correct amount of tax.

| | Statutory Basis | Mandatory vs. Discretionary | MOE/Match Rgt. | Priority Level |
|---------|-------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| General | KSA 75-5101 and 75-1527 | Mandatory | No | 1 |

Program Goals

- A. The Office of the Secretary is responsible for ensuring the agency follows it's mission.
- B. Represent the agency in litigation and provide legal advice to the Department of Revenue.
- C. Support the development of efficient tax laws and consistent policies.

Program History

KSA 75-5101 provides for the organization of the Department of Revenue and delineates the powers of the Secretary of Revenue. KSA 75-5127 allows the Secretary to organize the Department of Revenue in a manner that will promote efficiency.

Performance Measures

| Outcome Measures | Goal | FY 2019 | FY 2020 | FY 2021 | 3- yr. Avg. | FY 2022 |
|-----------------------------------------|------|---------|---------|---------|-------------|---------|
| 1. Percentage of fiscal notes completed | С | | | | | |
| within five working days | | 80% | 80% | 80% | 80% | 80% |
| 2. Total number of fiscal notes | | 292 | 294 | 372 | 319 | 225 |
| | С | | | | | |
| Output Measures | | | | | | |
| 4. Administrative tax appeals docketed | В | 355 | 250 | 279 | 295 | 450 |

| Funding Source | FY 2018 | FY 2019 | FY 2020 | FY 2021 | FY 2022 |
|---------------------|------------------|-----------------|------------|------------|---------------|
| State General Fund | \$ 2,635,725 \$ | 3,172,217 \$ | 6,073,649 | 6,322,805 | \$ 5,379,628 |
| Non-SGF State Funds | 26,538,213 | 23,736,997 | 28,595,826 | 30,761,945 | 30,384,663 |
| Federal Funds | - | 5,676 | - | - | - |
| Total | \$ 29,173,938 \$ | 5 26,914,890 \$ | 34,669,475 | 37,084,750 | \$ 35,764,291 |

Aid to Local Units

Consequences of Not Funding this Program

•Violation of the established state statues and regulations. The local jurisdictions would not receive revenue from the State of Kansas.

| | Statutory Basis | Mandatory vs. | MOE/Match Rgt. | Priority Level |
|---------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| General | K.S.A. 79-4227 | Mandatory | No | 1 |
| | K.S.A. 79-5211 | · | | |
| | K.S.A. 82a-309 | | | |
| | KSA 8-2425 | | | |

Program Goals

- A. Be accountable for the distribution of aid payments to local governments.
- B. Ensure that all aid payments are made on or before the scheduled distributions dates.

Program History

This program provides for the distribution of certain State-collected taxes and fees to local units of government as aid. Local aid distributions include: sand royalties, fees collected from the sale of full-privilege motor vehicle dealer license tags, mineral production taxes and assessments of delinquent taxes and penalties imposed for nonpayment of taxes on marijuana and controlled substances.

Performance Measures

| Outcome Measures | Goal | FY 2019 | FY 2020 | FY 2021 | 3- yr. Avg. | FY 2022 |
|----------------------------|------|---------|---------|---------|-------------|---------|
| Percentage of aid payments | В | | | | | |
| distributed on schedule | | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% |

| Funding Source | | FY 2018 | FY 2019 | FY 2020 | FY 2021 | FY 2022 |
|---------------------|------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| State General Fund | | | | | | |
| Non-SGF State Funds | | \$4,167,875 | \$4,705,447 | \$3,557,420 | \$1,993,678 | \$2,662,790 |
| Federal Funds | | | | | | |
| To | otal | \$4,167,875 | \$4,705,447 | \$3,557,420 | \$1,993,678 | \$2,662,790 |

Alcoholic Beverage Control

Consequences of Not Funding this Program

•Threat to public safety particularly as it applies to minors.

Potential influx of criminal activity masked within the industry.

| | Statutory Basis | Mandatory vs. Discretionary | MOE/Match Rqt. | Priority Level |
|----------|-----------------|-----------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| Specific | K.S.A. 75-5117 | Mandatory | <u> </u> | 1 |
| | K.S.A. 41-101 | | | |
| | K.S.A. 41-2601 | | | |

Program Goals

- A. Regulate licensees in an efficient, capable, fair, and professional manner.
- B. Make it as easy as possible to voluntarily comply with liquor laws by providing educational training to licent
- C. Protect public safety by performing random controlled buys to increase compliance rate for the sale of alcohol to underage persons.
- D. Contribute to and protect the integrity of KDOR revenue streams by monitoring the filing and remittance of taxes on the sale of alcoholic beverages.

Program History

In 1949, the Kansas Legislature created the Alcoholic Beverage Control (ABC) to regulate the liquor industry after prohibition was lifted. ABC regulates and enforces the Liquor Control Act, Club and Drinking Establishment Act, and the Keg Tag Act. The Cereal Malt Beverage (CMB) Act requires ABC to add a State CMB stamp to locally issued CMB retailer licenses.

Performance Measures

| Outcome Measures | Goal | FY 2019 | | FY 2020 | FY 2021 | 3- yr. Avg. | F | Y 2022 |
|------------------------------------------------------|------|-----------|---|-----------|-----------|-------------|----|--------|
| Number of controlled buys | С | | | | | | | |
| performed | | 38 | 0 | 404 | 291 | 358.3 | | 612 |
| Compliance rate for the controlled | С | | | | | | | |
| buys for the sale of alcohol to | | | | | | | | |
| underage persons | | 879 | % | 85% | 84% | 85.3% | | 85% |
| 3. ABC regulation cost per licensee | D | \$ 481.04 | ļ | \$ 516.92 | \$ 545.47 | \$ 514.47 | \$ | 541.64 |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Output Measures | | | | | | | | |
| 4. Liquor Enforcement Tax violations | D | 4 | 2 | 20 | 24 | 28.7 | | 25 |
| 5. Liquor Drink Tax violations | D | 20 | 9 | 105 | 119 | 144.3 | | 115 |

| Funding Source | FY 2018 | FY 2019 | FY 2020 | FY 2021 | FY 2022 |
|---------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| State General Fund | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - |
| Non-SGF State Funds | 2,941,273 | 2,929,569 | 3,081,384 | 3,329,486 | 3,267,077 |
| Federal Funds | 54,859 | 44,685 | 46,483 | 12,047 | 51,000 |
| Total | \$ 2.996.132 | \$ 2.974.254 | \$ 3.127.867 | \$ 3.341.533 | \$ 3.318.077 |

Tax Operations

Consequences of Not Funding this Program

- •All personal, corporate and business taxation, motor fuel tax, excise tax administration, and customer service would cease.
- •Delinquent tax debt would go unrecovered. No field presence would lead to an increase in non-compliance.
- Increase in tax fraud.
- No receiving and processing of tax returns.
- •Inability to process and distribute \$9 billion to state and local entities, making Kansas government unable to function.
- Loss of federal highway funding due to non-compliance with International Fuel Tax Agreement.

| Statutory Basis | | Mandatory vs. | MOE/Match | Priority |
|-----------------|-----------------------|---------------|-----------|----------|
| | Statutory Basis | Discretionary | Rqt. | Level |
| Specific | K.S.A 75-5102 through | Mandatory | | 1 |
| | KSA 75-5104 | | | |

Program Goals

- A. Administer and enforce tax laws with integrity, fairness, and civility.
- B. Increase voluntary compliance with tax laws through educational opportunities and the application of strategic decision/risk management processes to support and effective discovery and collection programs.
- C. Reduce accounts receivable and ensure prompt resolution by applying the latest best practices and latest technology in automation.
- D. Enforce laws relating to the sale of cigarette and tobacco products.
- E. Continually use new technologies and systems to reduce paper-based processing.

Program History

In 1972, the Division of Taxation was created within and as a part of the Department of Revenue. The Division, headed by the Director of Taxation, administers almost all state taxes, including individual and corporate income, retail sales and compensating use, mineral severance, motor fuel, cigarette, and alcoholic beverage excise taxes. The Division also administers the Homestead Property Tax and Food Sales Tax refunds. For local governments, the Division administers retail sales, compensating use, liquor excise, and transient guest taxes.

Performance Measures

| Outcome Measures | Goal | FY 2019 | FY 2020 | FY 2021 | 3- yr. Avg. | FY 2022 |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| % of written correspondence resolved within 30 days | Α | 98% | 87% | 97% | 94% | 97% |
| Compliance rate for random controlled buys for the sale of | D | | | | | |
| cigarette/tobacco products to minors | | 94% | 95% | 93% | 94% | 90% |
| Delinquent tax collections | С | \$ 193,700,000 | \$ 162,800,000 | \$ 206,900,000 | \$ 187,800,000 | \$ 210,000,000 |
| Delinquent tax accounts receivable turnover ratio | С | 0.39 | 0.35 | 0.78 | 0.51 | 0.75 |
| Output Measures | | | | | | |
| 5. Individual income tax returns filed | Α | 1,619,114 | 1,494,492 | 1,819,361 | 1,644,322 | 1,650,000 |
| 6. Sales and use tax returns filed | Α | 717,475 | 733,352 | 802,944 | 751,257 | 760,000 |
| Additional Measures | | | | | | |
| 7. Percentage of electronically filed individual income tax return refunds | E | | | | | |
| issued within 14 days of receipt | | 96% | 94% | 94% | 94.7% | 97% |

| Funding Source | FY 2018 | FY 2019 | FY 2020 | FY 2021 | FY 2022 |
|---------------------|------------------|---------------|------------|--------------|------------|
| State General Fund | \$ 12,252,054 \$ | 11,682,278 \$ | 8,641,695 | 8,312,786 | 9,404,259 |
| Non-SGF State Funds | 27,242,027 | 53,255,851 | 19,959,515 | 20,359,540 | 17,951,132 |
| Federal Funds | 40,922 | 133,783 | 64,807 | 47,335 | 30,000 |
| Total | \$ 39 535 003 \$ | 65 071 912 \$ | 28 666 017 | 28 719 661 9 | 27 385 391 |

Property Valuation

Consequences of Not Funding this Program

•Failure to maintain a uniform and equal basis of property taxation as required by the Kansas Constitution.
•Loss of audit mechanisms to monitor compliance of the appraisal system with Kansas law.

| Statutory Basis | | Mandatory vs. | MOE/Match | Priority |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|-----------|----------|
| | | <u>Discretionary</u> | Rqt. | Level |
| General | KSA 79-1404 | Mandatory | <u></u> | 1 |

Program Goals

- A. Provide counties, taxpayers, and staff with clear, useful, and accessible rules for valuing property. property and other tax-related issues. Ensure that uniform and accurate valuations and assessments occur.
- C. Maintain the CAMA system and provide state and county personnel training on its use through training courses and workshops, in-depth procedural manuals, and user meetings to address and resolve system functionality issues.
- D. Conduct an annual independent review of county appraisal procedures to ensure compliance with Kansas laws, rules and regulations, and related policies.

Program History

The Property Valuation Department became a division of the Department of Revenue under the executive reorganization of 1972 and provides overall supervision, training and education, assistance and support, and reporting for the Kansas ad valorem property tax system. The Director of Property Valuation has general supervision and direction of the county appraisers in the performance of their duties, and general supervision of the system of taxation throughout the state.

Performance Measures

| Outcome Measures | Goal | FY 2019 | FY 2020 | FY 2021 | 3- yr. Avg. | FY 2022 |
|--------------------------------------------------------------|------|---------|---------|---------|-------------|---------|
| Percent of residential valuations | D | | | | | |
| meeting state appraisal statistical compliance standards | | 99% | 99% | 99% | 99% | 99% |
| 2. Percent of commercial valuations | D | | | | | |
| meeting state appraisal statistical compliance standards | | 95% | 97% | 80% | 91% | 95% |
| Tax dollars generated from distribution to counties based on | Α | | | | | |
| assessed value (in millions) | | 603.9 | 622.9 | 647.8 | 624.9 | 673.0 |
| Output Measures | | | | | | |
| 4. Number of counties with qualified | В | | | | | |
| appraisers | | 102 | 105 | 98 | 102 | 105 |

| Funding Source | FY 2018 | FY 2019 | FY 2020 | FY 2021 | FY 2022 |
|---------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| State General Fund | \$ 883,271 | \$ 856,407 | \$ 1,243,829 | \$ 612,817 | \$ 16,181 |
| Non-SGF State Funds | 2,926,206 | 3,105,729 | 2,904,033 | 3,227,482 | 4,189,498 |
| Federal Funds | - | - | - | - | - |
| Total | \$ 3,809,477 | \$ 3,962,136 | \$ 4 147 862 | \$ 3,840,299 | \$ 4 205 679 |

Division of Vehicles

Consequences of Not Funding this Program

- •Violation of established federal and state statutes and regulations.
- •Unable to issue driver's license/identification cards.
- Unable to track vehicle registrations, titles, and issue license plates.

| Statutory Basis | | Mandatory | MOE/Match | Priority |
|-----------------|----------------|-----------|-----------|----------|
| | | vs. | Rqt. | Level |
| Specific | K.S.A. 75-5110 | Mandatory | <u> </u> | 1 |

Program Goals

- A. Provide exceptional service to customers, business partners, and stakeholders. Ensure information is presented in a consistent, efficient manner. Monitor business needs closely and adjust staffing to maintain quality service levels.
- B. Identify methods and technologies to improve efficiency for both internal and external customers, including county partners, lenders, and dealers.
- C. Adjust staffing to match business needs to decrease wait times in largest driver's license offices.

Program History

On July 1, 1972, the Division of Vehicles was moved from the State Highway Commission to the Department of Revenue. The Division administers Kansas law relating to vehicle titling and registration, motor vehicle dealer licensing, and the issuance, maintenance, and renewal of drivers' licenses.

Performance Measures

| Outcome Measures | Goal | F | Y 2019 | F | Y 2020 | FY 2021 | 3 | yr. Avg. | F | Y 2022 |
|-----------------------------------------------|------|----|---------|----|---------|-------------|----|----------|----|---------|
| Average wait time at ten largest | С | | | | | | | | | |
| driver's license offices | | | 20:43 | | 10:30 | 9:15 | | 13:29 | | 8:30 |
| Cost per registered vehicle | В | \$ | 4.17 | \$ | 3.61 | \$ 4.24 | \$ | 4.01 | \$ | 4.18 |
| Cost per active credential | В | \$ | 9.54 | \$ | 10.12 | \$ 10.20 | \$ | 9.96 | \$ | 10.43 |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| Output Measures | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4. Number of licenses/ID cards | Α | | | | | | | | | |
| processed* | | | 806,123 | | 551,199 | 700,000 | | 685,774 | | 700,000 |
| 5. Percentage of online/mobile app | В | | | | | | | | | |
| renewals processed at county | | | | | | | | | | |
| treasurers* | | | 22.80% | | 31.81% | 32.50% | | 29.04% | | 32.50% |

| Funding Source | FY 2018 | FY 2019 | FY 2020 | FY 2021 | FY 2022 |
|---------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| State General Fund | \$ 13,542 | \$ 60 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - |
| Non-SGF State Funds | 28,947,841 | 29,543,527 | 30,600,018 | 34,077,130 | 34,178,157 |
| Federal Funds | 32,307 | 10,287 | - | - | - |
| Total | \$28,993,690 | \$29.553.874 | \$30,600,018 | \$34.077.130 | \$34.178.157 |