

Board of Cosmetology

Consequences of Not Funding this Program							
Prevention of the board to protect the health and safety of the consuming public by licensing qualified individuals and enforcing standards of practice.							
Statutory Basis	Mandato ry vs.	MOE/Mat ch Rqt.	Priority Level				
General	Cosmetology, Tanning and Body Art Act	Mandatory	No	1			
Program Goals							
A. Safeguard the general public's health and safety through administration of policies and regulations. B. Regulate the cosmetology, tanning facility, and body art industries appropriately as required by law. C. Provide support to the regulated industries with informational tools necessary to meet the health and sanitation requirements determined by statute, rules, and regulations.							
Program History							
The Board of Cosmetology was established by the 1927 Legislature to regulate the cosmetology industry. KBOC operates under the authority of K.S.A. 65-1901 et seq. and K.S.A. 74-2701 et seq. KSA 74-2701 established the creation, composition, and duties of the Board. KSA 74-2704 established the Cosmetology Fee Fund. The 1992 Legislature passed legislation (KSA 65-1920) to authorize the Board of Cosmetology to license and inspect tanning facilities. The 1996 Legislature passed HB 2916 (KSA 65-1940, et seq.), requiring the Board to develop and implement regulations for the tattooing and body piercing professionals in the State of Kansas							
Performance Measures							
Outcome Measures	Goal	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	3- yr. Avg.	FY 2022	FY 2023
1. Percentage of facilities inspected for compliance with health, sanitation and infection control regulations (FY19 and FY20 reflect routine inspections + Compliance Inspections Vs. total # of facilities. Per House Budget Committee Chair request, data has been updated to reflect completed annual routine inspections starting FY21, which is the 2020 calendar year.)		78%	102%	70%	83%	100%	100%
2. Percentage of disciplinary actions implemented to enforce health, sanitation and infection control regulations (H&S Discipline vs. all discipline by fiscal year)		56%	48%	62%	55%	50%	45%
3. Percentage of practitioners maintaining current license (total renewable licenses		89%	92%	94%	92%	95%	95%
Output Measures							
4. # of annual routine inspections		4,718	4,852	4,978	4,849	5,000	5,000
5. # of disciplinary actions issued		348	268	423	346	350	350
6. # of renewable licenses		17,543	17,299	17,159	17,334	17,350	17,350
7. # of statutes and regulations		144	142	142	143	142	142
Additional Measures as Necessary							
8. Percentage of statutes and regulations reviewed to ensure industry standards are reflected in Kansas.		43%	47%	48%	46%	50%	50%
Funding							
Funding Source (in millions)		FY 2018	FY 2019	FY 2020	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023
State General Fund		-	-	-	-	-	-
Non-SGF State Funds		\$ 1.00	\$ 1.00	\$ 1.10	\$ 1.10	\$ 1.10	\$ 1.10
Federal Funds		\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Total		\$ 1.00	\$ 1.00	\$ 1.10	\$ 1.10	\$ 1.10	\$ 1.10