February 5, 2017

Alan Fearey, MD 323 N. Market Wichita, KS 67202

RE: Kansas Senate Bill 98

As a medical provider who has worked in the state of Kansas for over 30 years, I would like to encourage you to vote against Senate Bill 98. This bill would add numerous disclosure requirements to Kansas law—which already forces abortion providers to give a litany of biased and non-medical information to patients. The bill also represents interference in the doctor-patient relationship at its worst. Rather than helping achieve true informed consent, which is based on mutual respect and trust, this bill could actually engender distrust between abortion providers and patients by forcing providers to give patients biased and misleading information intended to cause fear and insecurity about a safe medical procedure.

Abortion providers are not currently required to have admitting privileges at a local hospital in Kansas. Yet this bill would require providers to disclose whether they have such privileges—potentially suggesting that privileges are required, contrary to state law, and insinuating that hospital privileges enhance patient safety. If the proposed state-mandated disclosures truly served the interest of Kansas patients, every physician in the state of Kansas would be required to disclose information about their clinical privileges status to every patient. That the Kansas legislature is only targeting abortion providers with these requirements lays bare their true motives: to single out abortion providers for different treatment, to stigmatize abortion providers and patients, and to engender distrust in the doctor-patient relationship when (and only when) the doctor provides abortion services.

This bill clearly singles out abortion providers for differential treatment. This is unfair and most likely will not stand the test of the courts. Therefore, I encourage you to vote against Senate Bill 98 in order to protect patients and doctors in the State of Kansas.

Sincerely,

Alan J Fearey, MD

Problems 5, 2017

Aren Leancy, MD 323 N. Market Wickins KS 67202

Rife Manuale School Bill 98

As a medical provider who has crarked in the state of Konses for over 30 years. I would like to encourage you to vote against Senste Bill 98. This but would add numerous dischause requirements to Konsus lave—which already forces altered providers to give a heave of blesse and non-recitivat information to patients. The bill also represents interiored in a constant of a reconstant receivership at its needs. Rather than helping octions true informed constant what it is best on mutual respect and true; this bill could actually engender distribut between the reconstant providers and prefer by forcing providers to pive extinus biased and misleading information insended to cause far and insteading information.

About on passiders are not currently equired to have a doubting privileges at a local hospical teachers. Yet this bill would require providers to disclose whether they have anch privilege potentially suggesting that privilege, are required, contrary to state have and instituting that hospital privileges enhance patient sufery. If the proposed state-mandated disclosures to the served the interest of Kansas patients, corresping in the state of Kansas would be required disclose information about their elimient privileges actus to every painful. I'm the Kense legislature is only targeting aboution providers with these requires contribute their true monitors; to single-out abortion providers for different to une at to single-out abortion providers for different terms and patients, and to engender district in the doctor redicated inship when task only when task only when the

this bill clearly singles out abordon providers for differential treatment. This is order not as a fillely will not significate of the course. Therefore, concerning you to you significate better the Stine of Kaussis.

donomi.

Alm I limber Will