Steven J. Anderson, CPA, MBA, Director



phone: 785-296-2436 fax: 785-296-0231 steve.anderson@budget.ks.gov

Sam Brownback, Governor

March 4, 2013

CORRECTED

The Honorable John Rubin, Chairperson House Committee on Corrections and Juvenile Justice Statehouse, Room 151-S Topeka, Kansas 66612

Dear Representative Rubin:

SUBJECT: Corrected Fiscal Note for HB 2252 by Representative Finch, et al.

In accordance with KSA 75-3715a, the following corrected fiscal note concerning HB 2252 is respectfully submitted to your committee.

HB 2252 would amend current law by expanding the statute of limitations for rape and sexually violent crime prosecutions. In the fiscal effect statement originally issued, references to sexually violent crimes were incorrectly excluded. Under the bill, prosecutions for rape may be commenced at any time. Prosecutions for sexually violent crimes may be initiated under the following conditions:

- 1. When the victim is 18 years of age or older, the prosecution would be commenced within the limitation of time provided by the law or one year from the date on which the identity of the suspect is conclusively established by DNA testing; or
- 2. When the victim is under 18 years of age, the prosecution would be commenced within ten years of the date the victim turns 18 years of age or one year from the date on which the identity of the suspect is conclusively established by DNA testing, whichever is later.

According to the Office of Judicial Administration, HB 2252 has the potential for increasing the number of prosecutions filed in district courts and appeals filed in appellate courts. This would increase the time spent by district and appellate court judicial and non-judicial personnel in processing, researching, and hearing cases. Likewise, the additional cases could also result in the collection of added revenue from docket fees and penalties. However, it is not possible to predict the number of additional court cases that would arise or how complex and time-consuming they would be. Therefore, a precise fiscal effect cannot be determined. In any case, the fiscal effect would most likely be accommodated within the existing schedule of court cases and would not require additional resources.

The Honorable John Rubin, Chairperson March 4, 2013 Page 2—HB 2252 Corrected

The Kansas Sentencing Commission states that passage of HB 2252 would have a negligible impact on prison admissions and bed needs. Any fiscal effect associated with HB 2252 is not reflected in *The FY 2014 Governor's Budget Report*.

Sincerely,

Steven J. Anderson, CPA, MBA Director of the Budget

cc: Mary Rinehart, Judiciary Scott Schultz, Sentencing Commission Pat Scalia, BIDS