

Testimony of  
**Richard D. Fry**, Esq.

before the

Standing Committee on Federal and State Affairs  
of the  
Kansas Senate

Thursday, March 20, 2014

Regarding

Senate Bill 431`

"prescribing appointment and qualifications of [Article V ] delegates; duties and responsibilities thereof; instruction for delegates by the legislature; creating a joint committee of correspondence..."

"The root problem with the Republic is that "We the People" are not holding our public servants, general or state, accountable . Until we do that no change to the Constitution will matter. Once we do that, no change to the Constitution will be necessary." p.3

## **Table of Content**

<b>Executive Summary</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>Koch brothers and George Soros: Current Promoters of the Article V Movement</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>History of the Article V Movement</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>Deficiencies of SB431</b>	<b>12</b>
<b>States are Complicit in Constitutional Violations</b>	<b>12</b>
<b>Conclusion</b>	<b>13</b>
<b>Endnotes</b>	<b>14</b>

## Executive Summary

### **Trying to fix the Fed. Government with An Article V Convention is The WRONG Problem, and the WRONG Solution!**

#### The Root Problem and Correct Solution

The root problem with the Republic is that "We the People" are not holding our public servants, general or state, accountable. Until we do that, no change to the Constitution will matter. Once we do that, no change to the Constitution will be necessary.

The solution is to empower the citizens to hold their state public officials accountable through recall, referendum and initiative. Once the citizens have control over the state then the states can take on their duty to constrain the general government as the Framers had instituted under our federalist Constitution.

#### The Two Relevant Questions

1. Why should anyone believe that those public servants who have been violating their sacred and solemn oath to protect and defend the Constitution for over one hundred years, will suddenly begin to obey an amended Constitution ?
2. If the Constitution is not the problem, how can amending it be the solution?

#### Take SB431 in Context

SB431 is one of a package of proposed [model] legislative enactments which are in support of a fifty plus year old effort to have Congress "call" an "amendments convention" to change the federal Constitution. SB 431 must be considered in that context and not as a standalone bill.

#### Promoters' Misidentification of Problem and Remediation

The promoters of this effort have identified the problem in the Republic as being our public servants of the general government (that in Washington D.C.) not following the Constitution. They say the one and only solution to such a problem is to amend the federal Constitution to restore "balance" between the general government and the state governments.

#### Big Money Foundations Initiated this Movement

In the 1960's the **Ford Foundation** initiated this movement by paying a couple of not-for-profit, non-governmental organizations (NGOs) \$41.5 million to develop an alternative to our current federal Constitution and promote an effort to have our current Constitution changed. **Professor Rex Tugwell** was hired by the Ford Foundation to assemble a team of 100 academics to re-write the Constitution.

Professor Tugwell had been the head of President Franklin D. Roosevelt's "**New Deal Brain Trust.**"

They completed the redrafting in the early 1970's. They initially tried to get an open convention at the time, no one knew of any other kind, through then-Senate President Nelson Rockefeller. The banner issue was the balanced budget amendment (BBA). Such failed.

In response to the failure they came out in the 1980's promoting a "limited" convention. Again, the banner issue was the BBA and the Tugwell Constitution was being promoted on the side. During this period the Rockefeller Foundation was also involved in the effort through NGOs. During this time it was being promoted by a group of "professors" and Lloyd Cutler. Such failed.

Then in the 1990's, a liberal / Democrat leaning organization, the National Conference of State Legislators (NCSL), started promoting a "Convention of States" and such was endorsed by the "conservative" American Legislative Exchange Council (ALEC).

Now ALEC is promoting a limited convention theory along with several other Article V factions. Most prominent in Kansas is ALEC and the Convention of States Project of the Citizens for Self-Governance organization.

#### The Koch / Soros connection to Article V

It is very interesting to note that virtually all NGOs supporting an Article V are funded by either Koch brother interests or George Soros or both. This is to be a **Koch Brothers / George Soros Article V Convention!**

#### State are Complicit in Constitutional Violations

The promoters of an Article V act like state public servants are going to be our saviors from the general governments abuses. The reality is that our state public servants have been complicit in the violations of the general government's violations of the Constitution and our rights.

It is a fundamental principle of federalism that States may not be forced by the general government to enact specific or particular legislation. Nor may they be required to participate or administrate federal programs. In short, states do not have to enforce or comply with federal laws. They do so voluntarily for the sake of mythical "federal dollars."

## Memorandum

### **Koch brothers and George Soros: Current Promoters of the Article V Movement**

Virtually all organizations and prominent people (talking heads) currently promoting the Article V are tied to the Koch Brothers or George Soros or both. Yes this includes Sean Hannity, Glen Beck, Mark Levin and Rush Limbaugh. Their production companies have been getting paid millions beginning between 2008 and 2010.

The **State Policy Network** (SPN) is a "hub" organization. Its members are other organizations that come together to coordinate their efforts. It is a not-for-profit, NGO.

SPN is funded by the **Charles Koch Foundation** (CKF) and **Castle Rock Foundation** (the Adolf Coors family, the beer people.) CKF was founded by Charles G. Koch who is also the founder and an officer of **Koch Industries**.

Some of the members of SPN are as follows, and if they support an Article V convention they will have an "AVC" next to their name:

#### **Heritage Foundation (AVC)**

Founder Paul N. Weyrich

Funded by:

Charles Koch Foundation

Castle Rock Foundation

Adolf Coors Foundation

Funds: **Rush Limbaugh** and **Sean Hannity**

#### **Freedom Works (AVC)**

Funds **Glenn Beck**.

#### **CATO Institute (AVC)**

Founder: Charles G. Koch <sup>i</sup>

Funded by:

Open Society Foundation <sup>ii</sup> (**Geo. Soros'**)

#### **Independence Institute (AVC)**

Funded by:

Charles Koch Foundation

Castle Rock Foundation

**Prof. Robert Natelson (AVC)** (Associated also with ALEC.)

**Goldwater Institute, (AVC)**

Funded by:  
Charles Koch Foundation  
Walton Family Foundation

**Open Society Foundation**

Funded by:  
George Soros

The **American Legislative Exchange Council (ALEC)** is also supporting The State Policy Network (SPN).

**American Legislative Exchange Council (ALEC)**

Founder Paul N. Weyrich  
Funded by:  
Charles Koch Foundation  
Castle Rock Foundation

(Voted to not oppose Common Core)

A non- State Policy Network organization:

**Americans for Prosperity**

Co-founder Richard H. Fink  
Exec. V. P. Koch Industries  
Funded by:  
David H. Koch  
Exec. V. P. Koch Industries  
Americans for Prosperity Foundation  
David H. Koch, Chair  
Freedom Partners  
Richard H. Fink, member

Funds: **Mark Levin**

**Freedom Partners**

Richard H. Fink, member  
Exec. V. P. Koch Industries

Funds:

**Tea Party Patriots (AVC)**

U.S. Chamber of Commerce

Supports:

-Open borders / Amnesty

- Common Core
- Sustainable Development

The Article V movement is not now, nor has it ever been, a grass roots movement. It has always been funded and promoted by elitist big money interests, generally collectivists, just like it is being today, who are standing behind ALEC, Americans for Prosperity and CATO Institute.

## History of the Article V Movement

### Use of NGOs to Further Foundations' Objectives

The **Ford Foundation** initiated the effort to amend (rewrite) our Constitution in the 1960s. It sponsored the **Fund for the Republic** (FFR) providing it with \$16.0 million in funds.<sup>iii</sup> The Fund for the Republic subsequently established the **Center for the Study of Democratic Institutions** (CSDI).<sup>iv</sup> The CSDI<sup>v</sup> was funded by the Ford Foundation to the tune of \$25 Million to develop a new Constitution.<sup>vi</sup>

The CSDI was characterized as a "globalist minded, tax-exempt think-tank..."<sup>vii</sup> and "internationalist"<sup>viii</sup> organization.

### Rex Tugwell and the Newstates Constitution

The project to re-write our Constitution was headed up by **Rex Tugwell** an Ivy League professor.<sup>ix</sup> Tugwell was the head of FDR's "New Deal Brain Trust"<sup>x</sup> and FDR's Assistant Secretary of Agriculture<sup>xi</sup> He was very experienced in developing and implementing programs for governmental and social change.

Tugwell was part of the Committee to Frame a World Constitution which was convened at the University of Chicago between 1945 - 1948.<sup>xii</sup>

Tugwell enlisted the assistance of over a hundred likeminded academics and scholars.<sup>xiii</sup> Their work product was published in **1974** as a book, ***The Emerging Constitution***, under Tugwell's name, and contained what was called the ***Proposed Constitution for the Newstates of America***.<sup>xiv</sup>

This was a "secret" project which only a few people knew about until it was published.<sup>xv</sup>

Many of those who analyzed the ***Constitution for the Newstates of America*** were shocked and dismayed. It was said by one that the Newstates Constitution would "*dissolve the states*" and create a "*regional government*" and create a "***dictatorship - a cleverly disguised dictatorship***."<sup>xvi</sup>

Another said the New Constitution would create an "***economic dictatorship***" where fundamental freedoms are suspended upon the declaration by the government of an

"emergency." <sup>xvii</sup> Yet another warned that such "... *deceitfully worded 'Constitution' [was] tooled up for a Police State under a Dictator!*", and asked "*Why attempt to tear down and overthrow our form of government by setting up, in its place, a **Soviet-type, Godless Dictatorship**, depriving the Individual American of Free Enterprise and personal Liberty?*" <sup>xviii</sup>

In 1934 the Chicago Tribune published a cartoon captioned "**Depleting the resources of the soundest government in the world.**" <sup>xix</sup> It depicts some of FDR's administration in a horse drawn wagon shoveling money out. Depicted driving the wagon is Rexford G. Tugwell. Next to the wagon on a sign it says:

Plan of Action for U.S.  
Spend! Spend! Spend  
Under the guise of recovery -  
bust the government-blame the capitalist for the failure-  
junk the constitution and declare a dictatorship.

### Regional Governance

Tugwell was known to favor **regional governance**. <sup>xx</sup> Regional governance is the Soviet Union's style of communism where unelected appointed bureaucrats (party boys) control everything and serve as conduits to give the top down orders from the elite central planners. <sup>xxi</sup> A commentator explained it this way:

*"To understand Regional Government, it is necessary to know, what **Metropolitan Government (Metro)** involves, because **Metro and Regional Government are the same**: government of an area or region by a central body of appointed officials who have unlimited and unconstitutional power. Promoters of regional government claim it is a new form of government, but it is the oldest form in history—**dictatorship.**" <sup>xxii</sup>*

Virginia R. Wilson, *COUNT DOWN* Newsletter of March 1973 (Emphasis added.)

Regional governance was conceived , at least in this country, by the Foundations. <sup>xxiii</sup> In 1938 \$3 million in funds from the Rockefeller Foundations was passed through its Spelman Fund to pay for construction of a facility ("1313") to house the **Public Administration Clearing House**. This was part of the Rockefeller Foundation's "Public Administration" program. Other Foundations also assisted with the funding. <sup>xxiv</sup>

The point of the Rockefeller Foundation's Public Administration program and the 1313 facility was to provide a central location to house NGO's as a mechanism to infiltrate state and local governments to infuse "collectivist" ideas including those related to "community planning". <sup>xxv</sup>



By 1959 it was noted that the "1313" effort had become:

*"...a national center for the production of experts - to fabricate 'progressive' legislation for governments at all levels, to rewrite our 'archaic' State constitutions, and to take over as city managers, or county managers, or metropolitan managers, or regional managers whenever people in any locality have progressed to the point of accepting government by imported experts as a substitute for government by elected local citizens."*<sup>xxvi</sup>

The scope of the "1313" effort is revealed by the following comment:

*"Under the "1313" conglomerate there is a special organization to advise, guide and ultimately control: State governors (Governors' Conference); State legislators (Council of State Governments); State Supreme Courts (Conference of Chief Justices); as well as State Attorneys General, mayors (National League of Cities); city managers (International City Managers' Association) and State budget and purchasing officials and officeholders throughout the governmental structure of each State."*<sup>xxvii</sup>

According to the testimony of Norman Dodd, chief investigator for the 1953 Reese Commission, a federal Senate Committee, which was investigating Tax Exempt Foundations, before the Illinois Legislature in 1978:

*"... it is the **Ford Foundation's** grants which are responsible for the formulation of this idea of **regional government**, and also the idea that given **regional government**, we must, in turn, develop and accept and agree to a totally new Constitution which has already been drawn up, as was mentioned just a few minutes ago."*<sup>xxviii</sup>

Dodd goes on to identify the "constitution" he noted as a "Tugwell type" constitution.

It is noted that by 1973 the **Ford Foundation** was the primary source of funding for the **Public Administration Clearing House** and the "1313" facility.<sup>xxix</sup>

As of the early 1970's the **National Municipal League** (NML) was determining policy for the "1313".<sup>xxx</sup> The NML has published two series of books recommend for delegates to a State Constitutional Conventions.<sup>xxxi</sup> The objective was to have states re-write their constitution so they fit into the overall scheme of federal regional governance.

#### Efforts to Call an Article V Convention

Tugwell was also a friend of Nelson Rockefeller, who was "well known" for promoting the idea of the CSDI / Tugwell constitution.<sup>xxxii</sup> In 1976 Rockefeller, then Senate President, orchestrated the introduction of legislation calling for an "unlimited" Article V convention.<sup>xxxiii</sup> Public outcry stifled such attempt.

But the promoters of this new constitution came back in the 1980's promoting a "limit" Article V convention again under the pretense of introducing a balanced budget amendment. <sup>xxxiv</sup> This effort was also stifled by grass roots' actions.

During this period of time a Rockefeller foundation became involved in the effort. <sup>xxxv</sup>

There was another effort to get an Article V convention in 1993 which was not successful. <sup>xxxvi</sup> Again under the pretense of introducing a balanced budget amendment. Are you starting to see the pattern, mid 1970's, mid 1980's, mid 1990's? The current effort was overdue.

Then as today the amendment they proposed not only would have failed to have helped the situation but would have made matters worse in that it would have legalized what was unconstitutional Congressional spending. <sup>xxxvii</sup>

A very appropriate question was asked about the budget situation by a commentator:

*"Our Constitution does not authorize foreign aid, or museums about rock stars, or studying of the blue lizard, or Chinese prostitutes, or unconstitutional wars, etc., etc., ad nauseam. We have a majority of Republicans in congress today...so why in heaven's name aren't they balancing the budget now without an amendment?"<sup>xxxviii</sup>*

Why indeed? It is because we are being gamed by both political parties.

Phyllis Schlafly commented in 1997 that:

*" The Balanced Budget Amendment is the creation of two fundraising organizations that have raised millions of dollars over the last two decades by peddling the notion that deficit spending is the root cause of all our ills."<sup>xxxix</sup>*

We can draw a direct line from the initial efforts of the globalist Ford Foundation in 1964 to the same effort in the 1980's. From 1986 through 1988 retired Chief Justice Warren Burger and Phyllis Schlafly, founder of Eagle Forum, and Article V opponent, had a series of correspondences regarding the claim of the promoters to be able to limit the Article V convention. In one of these letters the Mr. Chief Justice Burger said:

*"I am acquainted with Lloyd Cutler and some of the sponsors of this idea of a Constitutional Convention, and I have made no bones about expressing my view on the subject. Some of the **professors** would like to **abolish the states**, and reorganize the federal structure along the lines of the division of circuit for the Federal Judicial system, or even on a more rigid **regional basis**. None of it makes any sense to me."<sup>xl</sup>*

The ending of the states and the creation of regional governance through the creation of federal regions is right out of the Ford Foundation's / Tugwell's constitution. The "professors" are some of Tugwell's "academics."

It may not have made any sense to the judge but it makes perfect sense to me. At this point the globalists / communists had been working for two decades trying to impose their totalitarian constitution upon the American people through deceptions and trickery. Clearly they did not believe an Article V convention could be limited because that is what they were proposing by this time.

Their efforts have continued until this very day. Yes, the **Fund for the Republic** and **Center for the Study of Democratic Institutions** may no longer be actively involved but now there are other non-profits / Non-Governmental Organizations (NGOs) that have taken their place.

### America Legislative Exchange Council

One of the new "conservative" organizations<sup>xli</sup> that has been vigorously promoting an Article V convention for decades is the **America Legislative Exchange Council** (ALEC). It was established in 1973 by Paul Weyrich who is head of the **Council for National Policy** (CNP), founder of the **Free Congress Foundation**, and the **Heritage Foundation**.<sup>xlii</sup>

ALEC supported the 1995 resolutions of the Conference of States (COS) which was introduced by the **National Conference of State Legislators** (NCSL).<sup>xliii</sup> NCSL is the Democrat / liberal counterpart to ALEC.<sup>xliv</sup> Now ALEC has drafted the proposed resolution and NCSL is supporting it.<sup>xlv</sup>

The NCSL, **National Conference of Mayors** (NCM), **National Governors Association** (NGA) are adjuncts of the **Council of State Governments** (CSG). CSG was founded by the Rockefeller Foundation through its Spelman Fund.<sup>xlvi</sup>

In fact, the Rockefeller Foundation funded CSG has created at least 56 adjunct organizations. It is said that every elected official and most public bureaucrats have a particular organization directed at them.<sup>xlvii</sup> Of course, such organizations are under the direction or influence of the foundations that create them.

Although the original COS was billed as being the brain child of Governors Michael Leavitt of Utah and Ben Nelson of Nebraska, in reality, it was hatched by Rockefellers' CSG.<sup>xlviii</sup>

This is the same ruse used by ALEC when it has state legislators introduce its model bills as their own. Few realize that big corporate and foundation interests introduced the proposed model legislation into ALEC, and that the same corporate interests voted to promote them right alongside the state legislators at their ALEC meetings.<sup>xlix</sup>

ALEC is no more or no less than a captive lobbying organization that skirts the lobbying law by using an NGO facade.

Something of a breach of a fiduciary duty of disclosure by such legislators to their constituents. Oh well.

Knowing the background of this movement, how in the world can anyone in good conscious support it?

### Deficiencies of SB431

SB431 destroys the deliberative requirements of the Article V delegates.

"Legislatures" and "conventions" under Article V, refer to "*deliberative assemblages*", bodies that discuss and debate. <sup>i</sup> Such "*deliberative assemblages*" speak for the people not the state. <sup>ii</sup> Article V requires that any amendments to our Constitution be proposed by a **deliberative body**<sup>iii</sup> through a **deliberative process**. Under Article V, only Congress, or other deliberative body ("convention") called by Congress, may propose constitutional amendments.

The amendments process of Article V is a federal process controlled by the Constitution. Any action by a state regarding Article V must be authorized by the Constitution. <sup>iii</sup>

Neither legislative bodies nor courts, national or state, have the authority to alter the methods fixed by the Constitution for proposing or ratifying amendments. <sup>liv</sup> Attempts to negate the **deliberative prerogative of delegates** to an amendments convention or a ratification convention, such as by state statutory limitations, would conflict with the requirements of Article V and would therefore be automatically nullified under Article VI clause 2, the Supremacy Clause.

The appointed Article V "delegates" of SB431 are little more than puppets for the true delegates created by this bill, the members of the Committee of Correspondence.

### States are Complicit in Constitutional Violations

The promoters of an Article V act like the our state public servants are going to be our saviors from the general government's abuses. The reality is that our state public servants have been complicit in the violations of the general government's violations of the Constitution and our rights.

A state may not be forced by the general government to enact specific or particular legislation. Nor may they be required to participate or administrate federal programs. In short state do not have to enforce or comply with federal laws. They do so voluntarily for the sake of mythical "federal dollars".

The general government has no constitutional authority to give out "federal dollars" for education, transportation, housing, welfare, land use planning or economic development. The state governments have no constitutional authority to accept "federal dollars" for such purposes. In fact all state officers, who are under an oath to protect the federal Constitution, have a duty under such oath not to accept such money for such purposes and to challenge the general government's officering such money to any state.

### Conclusion

It bears repeating, the root problem with the Republic is that "We the People" are not holding our public servants, general or state, accountable. Until we do that, no change to the Constitution will matter. Once we do that, no change to the Constitution will be necessary.

Our public servants not following the Constitution is systemic throughout the federal government i.e., the general government, the states and the sovereign citizens. It is not the root problem of our socio-political woes, but rather it is a symptom of a deeper problem.

It reflects in part the general cultural ignorance of our fundamental rights, the way the federal government was suppose to work and the citizens lack of a sense of duty to take on their patriotic and moral responsibilities as the sovereigns of the Republic and the ultimate keepers of their own Liberty. The Framers set up a participatory government in which the citizens themselves were the lynchpin in maintaining the balance of the system. It is our failure we burden under today. And, only we can fix the problem.

This was the way the Framers design our Republic:

*"...the general government will at all times stand ready to check the usurpations of the state governments, and these will have the same disposition towards the general government. **The people, by throwing themselves into either scale, will infallibly make it reponderate.** If their rights are invaded by either, they can make use of the other as the instrument of redress...."*

*Federalist No. 28*

Every citizens has the right (and duty to demand) that the Constitution be honored. It is not the failure on the Constitution but rather that "We the People" have failed to undertake our duty and play our role in the Republic.

This begs the question: **If the Constitution is not the problem how can changing it be the solution?** A solution that does not address the problem is no solution at all.

The Constitution does not need to be amended, the citizens must be empowered and motivated to hold their public servants accountable.

<sup>i</sup> <http://www.muckety.com/Cato-Institute/5002561.muckety>

<sup>ii</sup> Exposing the Convention of the States (COS) as an Article V Constitutional Convention ... and Who's Behind It All, including Soros & Levin, Secure The Republic (12/08/2013) <http://securetherepublic.com/main/exposing-the-convention-of-the-states-cos-as-an-article-v-constitutional-convention/>

Muckety, <http://www.muckety.com/1E76A6EE6B8C53DDC74AA9E6383ED0C2.map>

<sup>iii</sup> Jackie Patru, **The Effort to Dismantle Our Constitution** (revised and updated January, 2001)

<http://www.sweetliberty.org/issues/concon/effort2dismantle.htm> Kelleigh Nelson, **CONSTITUTIONAL CONVENTION CALL REDUX PART 4** (03/26/2013) <http://www.newswithviews.com/Nelson/kelleigh178.htm> Michael Redmon, **Center for the Study of Democratic Institutions**, Santa Barbara Independent (05/28/2013)

<http://www.independent.com/news/2009/may/28/center-study-democratic-institutions/>

<sup>iv</sup> Kelleigh Nelson, **CONSTITUTIONAL CONVENTION CALL REDUX PART 4** (03/26/2013)

<http://www.newswithviews.com/Nelson/kelleigh178.htm>

Michael Redmon, **Center for the Study of Democratic Institutions**, Santa Barbara Independent (05/28/2013)

<http://www.independent.com/news/2009/may/28/center-study-democratic-institutions/>

Online Archive of California, **Guide to the Center for the Study of Democratic Institutions**

<http://www.oac.cdlib.org/findaid/ark:/13030/tf3s2006vg/>

"The **Center for the Study of Democratic Institutions** grew out of the '**Basic Issues**' program of the **Fund for the Republic**. The Fund emerged from a desire to combat the rampant abuses of American civil liberties that characterized the McCarthy era. With a **fifteen million dollar grant from the Ford Foundation**, the Fund set in 1954 to provide support to church, educational, and social service organizations in their efforts to protect the rights enumerated in the first ten Amendments to the Constitution." Jackie Patru, **The Effort to Dismantle Our Constitution** (revised and updated January, 2001)

<http://www.sweetliberty.org/issues/concon/effort2dismantle.htm>

<sup>v</sup> Michael Redmon, **Center for the Study of Democratic Institutions**, Santa Barbara Independent (05/28/2013)

<http://www.independent.com/news/2009/may/28/center-study-democratic-institutions/>

"In 1988, the center merged with the Institute for National Strategy, based in Los Angeles"

<sup>vi</sup> Kelleigh Nelson, **CONSTITUTIONAL CONVENTION CALL REDUX PART 4** (03/26/2013)

<http://www.newswithviews.com/Nelson/kelleigh178.htm>

E. Stanley Rittenhouse, **THE PROPOSED CONSTITUTION FOR THE 'NEWSTATES OF AMERICA', An analysis**

[http://freedom-school.com/law/New\\_State.htm](http://freedom-school.com/law/New_State.htm)

(**Note:** this is a composite of several articles. You will need to scroll down.)

Dr. Peter David Beter, **A CONSTITUTION FOR THE NEWSTATES OF AMERICA- A Review**

<http://home.iae.nl/users/lightnet/creator/newstatesconstitution.htm> "In case you would be inclined to dismiss the relevance of the proposed new constitution, bear in mind that it is the product of a globalist minded, tax-exempt think-tank which took **ten years, \$25,000,000**, and the **collaboration of over one-hundred like-minded individuals.**"

<sup>vii</sup> Jackie Patru, **The Effort to Dismantle Our Constitution** (revised and updated January, 2001)

<http://www.sweetliberty.org/issues/concon/effort2dismantle.htm> " is the product of a **globalist minded, tax-exempt think-tank** which took ten years, \$25,000,000, and the **collaboration of over one-hundred like-minded individuals.**"

<sup>viii</sup> E. Stanley Rittenhouse, **THE PROPOSED CONSTITUTION FOR THE 'NEWSTATES OF AMERICA', An analysis**

[http://freedom-school.com/law/New\\_State.htm](http://freedom-school.com/law/New_State.htm)

(**Note:** this is a composite of several articles. You will need to scroll down.)

<sup>ix</sup> Col. Curtis B. Dall, **A Review of the Proposed Constitutional Model for the Newstates of America**, [http://freedom-school.com/law/New\\_State.htm](http://freedom-school.com/law/New_State.htm)

(**Note:** this is a composite of several articles. You will need to scroll down.)

<sup>x</sup> E. Stanley Rittenhouse, **THE PROPOSED CONSTITUTION FOR THE 'NEWSTATES OF AMERICA', An Analysis**

[http://freedom-school.com/law/New\\_State.htm](http://freedom-school.com/law/New_State.htm)

(**Note:** this is a composite of several articles. You will need to scroll down.)

**Everything Old is New Again...**, The Federal Observer (Updated 05/09/2009)

<http://www.federalobserver.com/2009/05/08/everything-old-is-new-again/>

" *The man in the mortarboard flogging the Democratic donkey is Rex Tugwell, the **leader of FDR's "Brain Trust"**, a character out of academia...*"

<sup>xi</sup> Kelleigh Nelson, **CONSTITUTIONAL CONVENTION CALL REDUX PART 4** (03/26/2013)

<http://www.newswithviews.com/Nelson/kelleigh178.htm>

<sup>xii</sup> John W. Boyer, **Drafting Salvation**, The University of Chicago Magazine (December 1995)  
<http://magazine.uchicago.edu/9512/9512Salvation.html>

<sup>xiii</sup> Jackie Patru, **The Effort to Dismantle Our Constitution** (revised and updated January, 2001)  
<http://www.sweetliberty.org/issues/concon/effort2dismantle.htm>  
 " In case you would be inclined to dismiss the relevance of the proposed new constitution, bear in mind that it is the product of a globalist minded, tax-exempt think-tank which took ten years, \$25,000,000, and **the collaboration of over one-hundred like-minded individuals.**"  
 Col. Curtis B. Dall, **A Review of the Proposed Constitutional Model for the Newstates of America**, [http://freedom-school.com/law/New\\_State.htm](http://freedom-school.com/law/New_State.htm)  
 (Note: this is a composite of several articles. You will need to scroll down.)  
 Dr. Peter David Beter, **A CONSTITUTION FOR THE NEWSTATES OF AMERICA- A Review**  
<http://home.iae.nl/users/lightnet/creator/newstatesconstitution.htm>  
 "This model constitution took ten years to write, drawing upon the efforts of **more than 100 people...**"

<sup>xiv</sup> Jackie Patru, **The Effort to Dismantle Our Constitution** (revised and updated January, 2001)  
<http://www.sweetliberty.org/issues/concon/effort2dismantle.htm>  
 Col. Curtis B. Dall, **A Review of the Proposed Constitutional Model for the Newstates of America**, [http://freedom-school.com/law/New\\_State.htm](http://freedom-school.com/law/New_State.htm)  
 (Note: this is a composite of several articles. You will need to scroll down.)  
 Dr. Peter David Beter, **A CONSTITUTION FOR THE NEWSTATES OF AMERICA- A Review**  
<http://home.iae.nl/users/lightnet/creator/newstatesconstitution.htm>" Indeed, **only a very tiny fraction of the people in the United States even know that it exists:** it has been made known to practically no one except a select category of influential people whose views and interest generally coincide with those of the people who wrote it. The American people as a whole are still in the dark about it, and this situation is deliberate. **It is therefore truly a "secret" constitution.**"

<sup>xvi</sup> E. Stanley Rittenhouse, **THE PROPOSED CONSTITUTION FOR THE 'NEWSTATES OF AMERICA', An analysis**  
[http://freedom-school.com/law/New\\_State.htm](http://freedom-school.com/law/New_State.htm)  
 (Note: this is a composite of several articles. You will need to scroll down.)  
 ("This new constitution would replace the present U.S. Constitution and would **dissolve the States** as we know them into Newstates, "each comprising no less than 5 percent of the whole population." This new constitution with its **regional government** would bring about an **oligarchy** at the expense of our Constitutional Republic. This form of **dictatorship** that is planned for America would..."

<sup>xvii</sup> E. Stanley Rittenhouse, **THE PROPOSED CONSTITUTION FOR THE 'NEWSTATES OF AMERICA', An Analysis**  
[http://freedom-school.com/law/New\\_State.htm](http://freedom-school.com/law/New_State.htm)  
 (Note: this is a composite of several articles. You will need to scroll down.)

<sup>xviii</sup> Col. Curtis B. Dall, **A Review of the Proposed Constitutional Model for the Newstates of America**, [http://freedom-school.com/law/New\\_State.htm](http://freedom-school.com/law/New_State.htm)  
 (Note: this is a composite of several articles. You will need to scroll down.)

<sup>xix</sup> Noel Sheppard, **Trib Reruns 1934 Cartoon Critical of New Deal: 'Planned Economy or Planned Destruction?'**  
 Newsbusters.org ( June 14, 2009) <http://newsbusters.org/blogs/noel-sheppard/2009/06/14/trib-reruns-1934-cartoon-planned-economy-or-planned-destruction>

<sup>xx</sup> Kelleigh Nelson, **CONSTITUTIONAL CONVENTION CALL REDUX PART 4** (03/26/2013)  
<http://www.newswithviews.com/Nelson/kelleigh178.htm>

<sup>xxi</sup> Kelleigh Nelson, **CONSTITUTIONAL CONVENTION CALL REDUX PART 4** (03/26/2013)  
<http://www.newswithviews.com/Nelson/kelleigh178.htm>

Charlotte Iserbyt, **REGIONALISM IS COMMUNISM**, NewsWithViews.com (March 10, 2012) (Updated from February 2004)  
<http://newswithviews.com/iserbyt/iserbyt109.htm>

Michael Shaw, **Regionalism - The Blueprint for Your Serfdom (01 December 2012)**[http://www.freedomadvocates.org/articles/illegitimate\\_government/regionalism\\_-\\_the\\_blueprint\\_for\\_your\\_serfdom\\_20121201468/](http://www.freedomadvocates.org/articles/illegitimate_government/regionalism_-_the_blueprint_for_your_serfdom_20121201468/)

Charlotte Iserbyt **THE NORTH AMERICAN 'SOVIET' UNION**, NewsWithViews.com, (February 27, 2007)  
<http://newswithviews.com/iserbyt/iserbyt36.htm>

<sup>xxii</sup> Phoebe Courtney, **Beware Metro And Regional Government! THE INDEPENDENT AMERICAN** (1973)  
[http://www.sweetliberty.org/beware\\_metro.html#contents](http://www.sweetliberty.org/beware_metro.html#contents)

<sup>xxiii</sup> Phoebe Courtney, **Beware Metro And Regional Government! THE INDEPENDENT AMERICAN** (1973)  
[http://www.sweetliberty.org/beware\\_metro.html#contents](http://www.sweetliberty.org/beware_metro.html#contents)  
 "The program in Public Administration is designed to bridge the gap that exists between practical administrators in the government service and scholars in the universities in the field of social sciences. Aid has been given to the Social Science Research Council's Committee on Public Administration, which itself sponsors research upon key problems of public administration.  
 "The (Rockefeller) Foundation supports a number of such research enterprises together with a variety of projects designed to recruit and train a higher type of personnel for career service in the government."  
 " Describing an early program of the Rockefeller Foundation -- one called **Public Administration**, Fosdick stated:  
 "This program, which fringed out into broad areas of **community planning**, had at its core the idea of adequate training for government personnel, particularly in the federal services."  
<sup>xxiv</sup> Phoebe Courtney, **Beware Metro And Regional Government! THE INDEPENDENT AMERICAN** (1973)  
[http://www.sweetliberty.org/beware\\_metro.html#contents](http://www.sweetliberty.org/beware_metro.html#contents)  
 " Further financing for the "1313" has been provided by the Carnegie Corporation, the Julius Rosenwald (Sears Roebuck & Company) Fund, and the Russell Sage Foundation."

<sup>xxv</sup> Phoebe Courtney, **Beware Metro And Regional Government!** THE INDEPENDENT AMERICAN (1973)  
[http://www.sweetliberty.org/beware\\_metro.html#contents](http://www.sweetliberty.org/beware_metro.html#contents)  
 "This program, which fringed out into broad areas of **community planning**, had at its core the idea of adequate training for government personnel, particularly in the federal services."  
 "In order to facilitate the injection of **collectivist ideas** into various governmental bodies through the nation, the Spelman Fund of New York was created on December 27, 1928, with a capital of \$10 million provided by the Laura Spelman Rockefeller Memorial."

<sup>xxvi</sup> Phoebe Courtney, **Beware Metro And Regional Government!** THE INDEPENDENT AMERICAN (1973)  
[http://www.sweetliberty.org/beware\\_metro.html#contents](http://www.sweetliberty.org/beware_metro.html#contents)

<sup>xxvii</sup> Phoebe Courtney, **Beware Metro And Regional Government!** THE INDEPENDENT AMERICAN (1973)  
[http://www.sweetliberty.org/beware\\_metro.html#contents](http://www.sweetliberty.org/beware_metro.html#contents)

<sup>xxviii</sup> Norman Dodd, **Testimony on Regionalism**, Illinois Legislature (1978)  
<http://www.sweetliberty.org/issues/regionalism/dodd.htm>  
 (Note: Dodd was the chief investigator for the 1953 Reese Commission which was investigating Tax Exempt Foundations. "The investigation was eventually narrowed down to about 10 foundations, chiefly among them being Rockefeller, **Ford** and Carnegie Foundations, their sub- foundations, and the Rhodes Scholarship Fund.")

<sup>xxix</sup> Phoebe Courtney, **Beware Metro And Regional Government!** THE INDEPENDENT AMERICAN (1973)  
[http://www.sweetliberty.org/beware\\_metro.html#contents](http://www.sweetliberty.org/beware_metro.html#contents)

<sup>xxx</sup> Phoebe Courtney, **Beware Metro And Regional Government!** THE INDEPENDENT AMERICAN (1973)  
[http://www.sweetliberty.org/beware\\_metro.html#contents](http://www.sweetliberty.org/beware_metro.html#contents)

<sup>xxxi</sup> Phoebe Courtney, **Beware Metro And Regional Government!** THE INDEPENDENT AMERICAN (1973)  
[http://www.sweetliberty.org/beware\\_metro.html#contents](http://www.sweetliberty.org/beware_metro.html#contents)

<sup>xxxii</sup> Col. Curtis B. Dall, **A Review of the Proposed Constitutional Model for the Newstates of America**, [http://freedom-school.com/law/New\\_State.htm](http://freedom-school.com/law/New_State.htm)

(Note: this is a composite of several articles. You will need to scroll down.)

<sup>xxxiii</sup> Kelleigh Nelson, **CONSTITUTIONAL CONVENTION CALL REDUX PART 3** (03/22/2013)  
<http://www.newswithviews.com/Nelson/kelleigh177.htm>

<sup>xxxiv</sup> Kelleigh Nelson, **CONSTITUTIONAL CONVENTION CALL REDUX PART 4** (03/26/2013)  
<http://www.newswithviews.com/Nelson/kelleigh178.htm>

Kelleigh Nelson, **CONSTITUTIONAL CONVENTION CALL REDUX Part 1**, NewsWithViews.com, (March 13, 2013)  
<http://www.newswithviews.com/Nelson/kelleigh175.htm>

<sup>xxxv</sup> Kelleigh Nelson, **THE CONSTITUTIONAL CONVENTION CON PART 1** (09/22/2011)  
<http://www.law.harvard.edu/news/spotlight/constitutional-law/conference-on-the-constitutional-convention.html>

Jackie Patru, **The Effort to Dismantle Our Constitution** (revised and updated January, 2001)  
<http://www.sweetliberty.org/issues/concon/effort2dismantle.htm>

<sup>xxxvi</sup> Jackie Patru, **The Effort to Dismantle Our Constitution** (revised and updated January, 2001)  
<http://www.sweetliberty.org/issues/concon/effort2dismantle.htm>

<sup>xxxvii</sup> Kelleigh Nelson, **CONSTITUTIONAL CONVENTION CALL REDUX Part 1**, NewsWithViews.com, (March 13, 2013)  
<http://www.newswithviews.com/Nelson/kelleigh175.htm>

Publius Huldah, **Why the "Balanced Budget Amendment" is a Hoax – and a Deadly Trap, (09/09/2011)**  
<http://publiushuldah.wordpress.com/2011/06/27/why-the-balanced-budget-amendment-is-a-hoax-and-a-deadly-trap/>

<sup>xxxviii</sup> Kelleigh Nelson, **CONSTITUTIONAL CONVENTION CALL REDUX Part 1**, NewsWithViews.com, (March 13, 2013)  
<http://www.newswithviews.com/Nelson/kelleigh175.htm>

Publius Huldah, **Why the "Balanced Budget Amendment" is a Hoax – and a Deadly Trap, (09/09/2011)**  
<http://publiushuldah.wordpress.com/2011/06/27/why-the-balanced-budget-amendment-is-a-hoax-and-a-deadly-trap/>

<sup>xxxix</sup> Phyllis Schlafly, **Republicans, Get A Life!** Eagle Forum (02/26/1997)  
<http://www.eagleforum.org/column/1997/feb97/97-02-26.html>

<sup>xl</sup> Warren Burger, **Corresponded to Phyllis Schlafly** (04/08/1986)  
 (To see a copy of this letter go to Eagle Forum, <http://www.eagleforum.org/>, on the left hand bar under "Topics" click on "Constitution" which will produce a drop down menu, click on "Con Con" which will take you to another page, on the left side go down to the fourth section entitled "BURGER LETTERS" and click on the appropriate letter by date.)

<sup>xli</sup> Jackie Patru, **The Effort to Dismantle Our Constitution** (revised and updated January, 2001)  
<http://www.sweetliberty.org/issues/concon/effort2dismantle.htm>

" Interestingly, the organizations and leaders who purport to be 'conservative' are the strongest proponents of a Constitutional Convention. Foremost among them are the \***American Legislative Exchange Council** (ALEC), \***National Taxpayers' Union** (NTU), \***Republican National Committee** (RNC) and most notably the \***Committee on the Constitutional System** (CCS), chaired by **Senator Nancy Kassebaum** from Kansas, former Secretary of the Treasury, **C. Douglas Dillon**, and former Counsel to the President, **Lloyd N. Cutler**. Lloyd N. Cutler was Ross Perot's advisor; Jimmy Carter's White House Counsel; and reared his ugly head for a brief period during one of Clinton's scandals."

<sup>xlii</sup> Kelleigh Nelson, **CONSTITUTIONAL CONVENTION CALL REDUX PART 3** (03/22/2013)  
<http://www.newswithviews.com/Nelson/kelleigh177.htm>

<sup>xliii</sup> Kelleigh Nelson, **CONSTITUTIONAL CONVENTION CALL REDUX PART 3** (03/22/2013)  
<http://www.newswithviews.com/Nelson/kelleigh177.htm>

<sup>xliv</sup> Kelleigh Nelson, **CONSTITUTIONAL CONVENTION CALL REDUX PART 3** (03/22/2013)  
<http://www.newswithviews.com/Nelson/kelleigh177.htm>

<sup>xlv</sup> Kelleigh Nelson, **CONSTITUTIONAL CONVENTION CALL REDUX PART 3** (03/22/2013)  
<http://www.newswithviews.com/Nelson/kelleigh177.htm>

<sup>xlvi</sup> Kelleigh Nelson, **CONSTITUTIONAL CONVENTION CALL REDUX PART 3** (03/22/2013)  
<http://www.newswithviews.com/Nelson/kelleigh177.htm>



---

<sup>xlvii</sup> Phoebe Courtney, **Beware Metro And Regional Government!** THE INDEPENDENT AMERICAN (1973)

[http://www.sweetliberty.org/beware\\_metro.html#contents](http://www.sweetliberty.org/beware_metro.html#contents)

<sup>xlviii</sup> Kelleigh Nelson, **CONSTITUTIONAL CONVENTION CALL REDUX PART 3** (03/22/2013)

<http://www.newswithviews.com/Nelson/kelleigh177.htm>

<sup>xlix</sup> Kelleigh Nelson, **CONSTITUTIONAL CONVENTION CALL REDUX PART 3** (03/22/2013)

<http://www.newswithviews.com/Nelson/kelleigh177.htm>

<sup>i</sup> **Hawke v. Smith**, 253 U.S. 221, 226-7 (1920)

<sup>ii</sup> **Hawke v. Smith**, 253 U.S. 221, 226-7 (1920)

<sup>iii</sup> Having the function of deliberating, as a legislative assembly: *a deliberative body*.

<http://dictionary.reference.com/browse/deliberative>; to think carefully or attentively; reflect.; to consult or confer formally;

<http://dictionary.reference.com/browse/deliberate?s=t>

<sup>iiii</sup> **Hawke v. Smith**, 253 U.S. 221, 226-7 (1920)

<sup>liv</sup> **Hawke v. Smith**, 253 U.S. 221, 227 (1920); **Leser v. Garnett**, 258 U.S. 130 (1922)

"But the function of a state legislature in ratifying a proposed amendment to the federal Constitution, like the function of Congress in proposing the amendment, is a federal function derived from the federal Constitution, and it transcends any limitations sought to be imposed by the people of a state."