

TESTIMONY OF PHILLIP COSBY
AMERICAN FAMILY ASSOCIATION OF KANSAS AND MISSOURI
KANSAS SENATE JUDICIARY COMMITTEE
HB 2042 March 2011

Chairman Owens and honorable members of the Judiciary Committee, my name is Phillip Cosby. I am a native of Kansas and currently the American Family Association State Director for Kansas and Missouri. I am honored to have the privilege to speak to you in support of **HB 2042** regarding the reporting of pornographic materials during investigations of sexual crimes.

HB 2042 to my knowledge, for the first time, will provide for collection of data to quantify and connect the dots between pornographic materials and criminal behavior. Such data will either affirm or refute the anecdotal observations, debates and speculations that range from "pornography is just harmless fun" to "pornography is the fuel that acts as a catalyst for fantasy driven criminal behavior".

These past eight years I have spoken to thousands of Kansans citizens and civic officials concerning the negative effects of Sexually Oriented Businesses (SOBs) in communities. The evidence of harm is not anecdotal; the lawful regulation of the sex industry is based on measurable toxic effects on communities. The right of communities to regulate SOBs has been constitutionally upheld for over thirty years. The documented effects are primarily increased crime, increased STD's, blight, property devaluation, prostitution, human trafficking and drug trafficking. One judge recently commented "it is not just the evidence of negative effects, it is common sense."

The KC Star story put forth a piercing question asking how Kelsey Smiths killer went from juvenile delinquent to rapist and murderer. *Motive May Never Be Known, Questions Remain* KC Star Sep. 15th The article turned a blind eye to the obvious. Not one time in this story and question was the elephant in the room of cause and effect of an addiction to sexualized materials weighted.

I asked the Johnson County prosecutor, during their investigations, as to what they found in the way of sexualized materials that could have contributed to fuel the impulse to act out such a criminal fantasy. The prosecutor was genuinely interested in the question but stated that it was not in their rubric to look for and document such corroborative evidence. In my conversations with most experienced law enforcement personnel and convicted sex offenders they generally agree that the influence of pornography is a major factor in deviant behavior.

"Pornography is the fuel that acts as a catalyst for fantasy-driven criminal behavior." *Vernon J. Geberth, retired Lt. Commander of the NYPD*

Senate Judiciary
3-10-11
ATTACHMENT 6

The abduction, sexual assault and murder of Kelsey Smith of Johnson County , Jodi Sanderhold of Arkansas City, Alicia DeBolt of Great Bend...motives unknown? The toxic effects of highly sexualized materials is striking in it comparisons with the tobacco debates in denial and effects. According to the KBI sexual crimes increased 40% from 2003 (553) to 2009 (912).

It is cause and effect: garbage in, garbage out. We can't afford to be indifferent, in denial or dismiss as harmless fun the pervasive flood of highly sexualized materials now exacerbated by emerging handheld communication technologies. The pornification of America has changed everything.

We all sense it. Every day the news relays the latest heartbreaking story of abductions, child molestations, human trafficking, solicitations, and sexual misconduct at the highest levels of sacred and secular trust, urban blight, rising STD rates, fantasy driven sexual assaults, rape and murder. Our sense of safety, wholesomeness and innocence is evaporating. When you and I were in grade school we played freely with our friends on Saturdays in our neighborhoods and beyond. Our parents did not have to be unduly fraught with concerns for our personal safety. For us, the general rule was, when those street lights flicker on you better be home. Those days of experiencing such freedom and safety are long since gone for today's children. Outside of organized and supervised sports, where are those groups of playful youngsters today?

Legislative bodies on many levels are behind the curve in recognizing and reacting to the cause and effect relationship of the sex industry on individual lives. The ease of accessibility to highly sexualized images by emerging technologies is exacerbating this growing public safety and health crisis.

This is a real pocketbook issue. In Kansas prisons one third of the inmates are incarcerated for sexual crimes at a cost of \$30,000 annually per prisoner. As a matter of good common sense KDOC policy inmates are not allowed access to pornographic materials. You can't raise enough taxes, build enough prisons and buy enough ankle bracelets for this toxic tsunami. Ladies and gentlemen what we have is an epidemic and we must act. At the very least we can quantify the question. **HB 2042** is a compelling governmental interest.

Phillip Cosby

Executive Director, Kansas City Office, NCPC&F

11936 W. 119th St. # 193

Overland Park, Kansas 66213 Cell# 913-787-0075 pcosby@nationalcoalition.org

Supporting Documents:

CD of the 214 page report "*Adult Pornography and Child Sexual Exploitation*" Robert Peters Booklet. "*Social Costs of Pornography*" Witherspoon Institute

<input type="checkbox"/> INITIAL <input type="checkbox"/> DELETE		KANSAS STANDARD OFFENSE REPORT		PAGE _____ OF _____								
<input type="checkbox"/> MODIFY <input type="checkbox"/> ADD		FRONT PAGE OPEN PUBLIC RECORD										
<input type="checkbox"/> ON VIEW <input type="checkbox"/> DISPATCHED		NAME OF AGENCY _____		KS AGENCY ORI NUMBER _____								
<input type="checkbox"/> CITIZEN				CASE NUMBER _____								
I N C I D E N T	DATE OFFENSE STARTED (MMDDCCYY)		TIME _____	DATE OFFENSE ENDED (MMDDCCYY)								
	EXCEPTIONAL CLEARANCE DATE (MMDDCCYY)		EXCEPTIONAL CLEARANCE _____	A <input type="checkbox"/> DEATH OF OFFENDER D <input type="checkbox"/> VICTIM REFUSES TO TESTIFY								
				B <input type="checkbox"/> PROSECUTION DENIED E <input type="checkbox"/> JUVENILE-NO CUSTODY								
LOCATION OF OFFENSE _____		REPORT AREA _____		TIME REPORTED _____								
				TIME ARRIVED _____								
				TIME CLEARED _____								
O F F E N S E # - - - -	CHAPTER _____	SECTION _____	SUB1 _____	SUB2 _____	<input type="checkbox"/> ATTEMPTED <input type="checkbox"/> COMPLETED							
	DESCRIPTION _____				<input type="checkbox"/> AID/ABET <input type="checkbox"/> CONSPIRACY <input type="checkbox"/> SOLICITATION							
	PREMISE _____	# OF PREM _____	HATE/BIAS _____	CAMPUS CODE _____	METHOD OF ENTRY F <input type="checkbox"/> FORCE N <input type="checkbox"/> NO FORCE							
	TYPE OF THEFT M <input type="checkbox"/> COIN MACHINE B <input type="checkbox"/> FROM BUILDING A <input type="checkbox"/> M V PARTS & ACC. L <input type="checkbox"/> SHOPLIFTING P <input type="checkbox"/> POCKET-PICKING S <input type="checkbox"/> PURSE SNATCHING E <input type="checkbox"/> EMBEZZLEMENT		T <input type="checkbox"/> POSS. STOLEN PROP V <input type="checkbox"/> MOTOR VEHICLE F <input type="checkbox"/> THEFT FROM M V O <input type="checkbox"/> ALL OTHER N <input type="checkbox"/> NOT APPLICABLE		TYPE OF FORCE / WEAPON 11. <input type="checkbox"/> FIREARM <input type="checkbox"/> AUTO 12. <input type="checkbox"/> HANDGUN <input type="checkbox"/> AUTO 13. <input type="checkbox"/> RIFLE <input type="checkbox"/> AUTO 14. <input type="checkbox"/> SHOTGUN <input type="checkbox"/> AUTO 15. <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER FIREARM <input type="checkbox"/> AUTO 20. <input type="checkbox"/> KNIFE / CUT INSTR. 30. <input type="checkbox"/> BLUNT OBJECT 35. <input type="checkbox"/> MOTOR VEHICLE 40. <input type="checkbox"/> PERSONAL WEAPON 50. <input type="checkbox"/> POISON 60. <input type="checkbox"/> EXPLOSIVE 65. <input type="checkbox"/> FIRE / INCID / DEVICE 70. <input type="checkbox"/> DRUGS / NARCOTICS 85. <input type="checkbox"/> ASPHYXIATION 90. <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER 95. <input type="checkbox"/> UNKNOWN 99. <input type="checkbox"/> NONE							
	OFFENDER SUSPECTED OF USING (SELECT UP TO 3) A <input type="checkbox"/> ALCOHOL N <input type="checkbox"/> NOT APPLICABLE C <input type="checkbox"/> COMPUTER EQUIP. D <input type="checkbox"/> DRUGS / NARC.											
	TYPE OF CRIMINAL ACTIVITY T. <input type="checkbox"/> TRANS/ (SELECT UP TO 3) TRANSMIT/IMPORT B <input type="checkbox"/> BUYING / RECEIVING J. <input type="checkbox"/> JUVENILE GANG C. <input type="checkbox"/> CULT / MANU / PUBL G. <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER GANG D <input type="checkbox"/> DIST/ SELLING P. <input type="checkbox"/> POSSESS/ E <input type="checkbox"/> EXPLOIT. CHILDREN CONCEAL. O <input type="checkbox"/> OPER/PROMOTE/ ASSIST U. <input type="checkbox"/> USING/CONSUM N. <input type="checkbox"/> NO GANG											
	LOCAL CODE _____											
	V I C T I M # - - -	TYPE OF VICTIM 1. <input type="checkbox"/> INDIVIDUAL S. <input type="checkbox"/> SOCIETY/ PUBIC B. <input type="checkbox"/> BUSINESS F. <input type="checkbox"/> FINANCIAL INSTITUTION R. <input type="checkbox"/> RELIGIOUS ORGANIZATION O. <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER G. <input type="checkbox"/> GOVERNMENT U. <input type="checkbox"/> UNKNOWN		VICTIM OF OFFENSE NUMBER (CIRCLE) 1. <input type="checkbox"/> 2. <input type="checkbox"/> 3. <input type="checkbox"/> 4. <input type="checkbox"/> 5. <input type="checkbox"/> 6. <input type="checkbox"/> 7. <input type="checkbox"/> 8. <input type="checkbox"/> 9. <input type="checkbox"/> 10. <input type="checkbox"/>								
NAME LAST FIRST MIDDLE												
ADDRESS STREET CITY STATE ZIP												
TELEPHONE NUMBER (HOME)		RACE	SEX	ETHNICITY	RES/N-RES	AGE	DATE OF BIRTH (MMDDCCYY)	HEIGHT	WEIGHT	HAIR	EYES	
DRIVERS LICENSE NUMBER		D.L. STATE	EMPLOYER/SCHOOL									
TELEPHONE NUMBER (WORK/SCHOOL)		ADDRESS	STREET	CITY	STATE	ZIP						
CIRCUM. AGG ASLT/BATTERY (MAX 2)		VICTIMS RELATIONSHIP TO CORRESPONDING SUSPECT NUMBER (INDICATE ALL SUSPECTS) 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10.						TYPE OF INJURY (MAX 5) 1. 2. 3. 4. 5.				
NAME LAST FIRST MIDDLE		ADDRESS: STREET CITY STATE ZIP										
TELEPHONE NUMBER (HOME)		RACE	SEX	ETHNICITY	RES/N-RES.	AGE	DATE OF BIRTH (MMDDCCYY)	HEIGHT	WEIGHT	HAIR	EYES	
EMPLOYER/SCHOOL		ADDRESS	STREET	CITY	STATE	ZIP	TELEPHONE NUMBER (WORK/SCHOOL)					
TYPE PROPERTY LOSS 1-NONE 2-BURNED 3-COUNTERFEITED/FORGERY 4-DESTROYED / DAMAGED VANDAUATED 5=RECOVERED 6=SEIZED 7-STOLEN 6-UNKNOWN												
P R O P E R T Y	TYPE LOSS	PROPERTY/ DRUG CODE	DESCRIPTION / SUSPECTED DRUG TYPE			ESTIMATED QUANTITY	FRACTION	TYPE DRUG MEASURE	VALUE	DATE RECOVERED		
REPORTING OFFICER _____		BADGE / ID _____	DATE _____	COPIES TO _____				PROPERTY TOTAL _____				

CRIMINAL INVESTIGATION RECORD / NOT AN OPEN PUBLIC RECORD

AGENCY ORI NUMBER	CASE NUMBER	DATE OF REPORT (MMDDCCYY)	PAGE OF
-------------------	-------------	---------------------------	---------

METHOD OF OPERATION	INSTRUMENT USED FOR ENTRY 1. <input type="checkbox"/> KEY 5. <input type="checkbox"/> BOLT CUTTER 9. <input type="checkbox"/> THROWN OBJECT 2. <input type="checkbox"/> PRY TOOL 6. <input type="checkbox"/> CHOPPING TOOL 10. <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER 3. <input type="checkbox"/> SAW/DRILL 7. <input type="checkbox"/> VISE GRIPS 11. <input type="checkbox"/> NOT APPLICABLE 4. <input type="checkbox"/> HAMMER 8. <input type="checkbox"/> PHYSICAL FORCE	POINT OF ENTRY 9. <input type="checkbox"/> NOT APPLICABLE 1. <input type="checkbox"/> FRONT 2. <input type="checkbox"/> REAR 3. <input type="checkbox"/> SIDE 4. <input type="checkbox"/> ROOF	POINT OF EXIT 9. <input type="checkbox"/> NOT APPLICABLE 1. <input type="checkbox"/> FRONT 2. <input type="checkbox"/> REAR 3. <input type="checkbox"/> SIDE 4. <input type="checkbox"/> ROOF	PREMISE NEIGHBORHOOD R. <input type="checkbox"/> RURAL / FARM / AGRICULTURE S. <input type="checkbox"/> SUBURBAN / RESIDENCE B. <input type="checkbox"/> URBAN / BUSINESS / COMMERCIAL U. <input type="checkbox"/> UNINHABITED N. <input type="checkbox"/> NOT APPLICABLE
	SAFE ENTERED 1. <input type="checkbox"/> YES 3. <input type="checkbox"/> ATTEMPTED 5. <input type="checkbox"/> PEELED 7. <input type="checkbox"/> COMBINATION KNOWN 2. <input type="checkbox"/> NO 4. <input type="checkbox"/> REMOVED 6. <input type="checkbox"/> EXPLODED 9. <input type="checkbox"/> NOT APPLICABLE	INCIDENT ACTIVITY C. <input type="checkbox"/> DOMESTIC VIOLENCE CHILDREN PRESENT J. <input type="checkbox"/> CAR JACKING D. <input type="checkbox"/> DOMESTIC VIOLENCE N. <input type="checkbox"/> NOT APPLICABLE		

NAME LAST	FIRST	MIDDLE
ADDRESS STREET	CITY	
STATE	ZIP	
TELEPHONE NUMBER (HOME)	RACE	SEX
ETHNICITY	RES / N-RES	AGE
DATE OF BIRTH (MMDDCCYY)	HEIGHT	WEIGHT
HAIR	EYES	
EMPLOYER/SCHOOL	ADDRESS	
TELEPHONE NUMBER (WORK/SCHOOL)		
MONIKERS / ALIAS		
# ADDITIONAL SUSPECT DESCRIPTORS		
SUSPECT VEHICLE MAKE	YEAR	MODEL
COLOR	VEHICLE STYLE	
LICENSE NUMBER	YEAR	STATE
VEHICLE IDENTIFICATION NUMBER	OTHER	

NAME LAST	FIRST	MIDDLE
ADDRESS STREET	CITY	
STATE	ZIP	
TELEPHONE NUMBER (HOME)	RACE	SEX
ETHNICITY	RES / N-RES	AGE
DATE OF BIRTH (MMDDCCYY)	HEIGHT	WEIGHT
HAIR	EYES	
EMPLOYER/SCHOOL	ADDRESS	
TELEPHONE NUMBER (WORK/SCHOOL)		
MONIKERS / ALIAS		
# ADDITIONAL SUSPECT DESCRIPTORS		
SUSPECT VEHICLE MAKE	YEAR	MODEL
COLOR	VEHICLE STYLE	
LICENSE NUMBER	YEAR	STATE
VEHICLE IDENTIFICATION NUMBER	OTHER	

EVIDENCE INFORMATION

NONE SUBMITTED RETAINED BY VICTIM RETAINED BY OFFICER RETAINED BY INVESTIGATIVE AGENCY TRANSFER TO OTHER AGENCY
 OTHER See narrative attached.

EVIDENCE OBTAINED

LATENT PRINTS WEAPONS / TOOLS SEXUAL ASSAULT KIT STAINS SEMEN DRUGS
 OTHER PRINTS PHOTO-S HAIR BLOOD DOCUMENTS ALCOHOL
 OTHER See narrative attached

EVIDENCE COLLECTOR	LOCATION STORED
--------------------	-----------------

DESCRIBE BRIEFLY HOW OFFENSE WAS COMMITTED

6-4

How Adult Pornography Contributes To Sexual Exploitation of Children

By Robert Peters,
President of Morality in Media
September 2009

Table of Contents:

Part I: Perpetrators use adult pornography to groom their victims – page 4

Part II: For many perpetrators there is a progression from viewing adult pornography to viewing child pornography – page 10

Part III: Johns act out what they view in adult pornography with child prostitutes and pimps use adult pornography to instruct child prostitutes – page 17

Part IV: Children act out what they view in adult pornography with other children – page 20

Part V: Perpetrators use adult pornography to sexually arouse themselves – page 26

Part VI: Addiction to adult pornography destroys marriages and children raised in one-parent households are more likely to be sexually exploited – page 35

Concluding thoughts – page 36

Appendices – pages 41-215

A – page 41 B – page 46 C – page 159 D – page 162 E – page 168

F – page 178 G – page 187 H – page 191 I – page 209 J – page 213

Introduction

Federal and state law enforcement agencies and prosecutors, Internet service providers, credit card companies, banks, and nonprofits are finally working together to curb sexual exploitation of children on the Internet. They are to be commended for doing so.

For the most part, however, these same government and private entities have turned a blind eye towards the explosion of hardcore adult pornography on the Internet and elsewhere. The latter does not depict actual children but does include hardcore depictions of sex with persons who look like children and with “teens.” Hardcore adult pornography also encompasses depictions of sex with animals, other family members, multiple partners (“gangbangs”), and prostitutes. It also depicts excretory activities and sexual violence against women, including rape and torture.

The explosion of hardcore adult pornography on the Internet and elsewhere is contributing to sexual exploitation of children in a variety of ways, including the following:

- Perpetrators use adult pornography to groom their victims.
- For many perpetrators there is a progression from viewing adult pornography to viewing child pornography.
- Johns act out what they view in adult pornography with child prostitutes and pimps
- use adult pornography to instruct child prostitutes.
- Children imitate behavior they view in adult pornography with other children.
- Perpetrators use adult pornography to sexually arouse themselves.
- Addiction to adult pornography destroys marriages, and children raised in one-parent
- households are more likely to be sexually exploited.
- Furthermore, while protecting children from sexual exploitation (abuse) should be top priority, it is by no means the only concern. In *Paris Adult Theater I v. Slaton*, 413 U.S. 49, at 57 (1973), the Supreme Court recognized that there are several "legitimate state interests at stake in stemming the tide of commercialized obscenity." These include:
 - Protecting children from *exposure to* pornography (at 57)
 - Protecting the quality of life and total community environment (at 58)
 - Protecting public safety (at 58)
 - Maintaining a decent society (at 59-60)
 - Protecting the social interest in order and morality (at 61)
 - Protecting family life (at 63)

The display of pornography is also a frequent component in workplace sexual harassment cases, and the time wasted viewing Internet pornography reduces worker productivity. See, e.g., "Increased Visits to Porn Sites At Work," *Industry News*, Wavecrest Computing, 2/24/09, available at <http://www.wavecrest.net/editorial/issues.html#pr27>, where we read:

According to a study by Nielson Online in October 2008, visits to porn sites at work is up 23 percent from the previous year. This means that almost one quarter of employees are visiting porn sites during the workday. "Hits to porn sites are highest during office hours than at any other time of day," according to M.J. McMahon, publisher of *AVN Online* magazine, which tracks the adult video industry...Regardless, porn surfing at work poses a major legal liability risk for businesses. This type of activity puts the employer at serious risk of being sued by *other* workers who are offended or upset by being exposed to pornographic images. Such suits usually take the form of 'sexual harassment' or 'hostile workplace' litigation and can be very costly...In addition to the legal costs, businesses also have to be concerned about costs due to loss of productivity... According to Salary.com, the average employee wastes 2.09 hours a day on the Internet...Furthermore, as Roger Young, Special Agent, FBI retired, points out: It was my own experience from working obscenity cases as a Special Agent of the FBI

(1975 - 2001), as well as my understanding from speaking to other Agents who investigated these cases, that there is no such thing as *just* an obscenity case. Crimes associated with obscenity crimes include arson, bribery, conspiracy, domestic terrorism, drugs, extortion, involuntary servitude, jury tampering, kidnapping, mail fraud, money laundering, murder, obstruction of justice, prostitution, public corruption, racketeering, rape, robbery, sexual assault, sexual exploitation of children, tax evasion, and witness intimidation. In addition to these governmental interests, our nation's role in polluting the world with adult pornography is also making the war against religiously based terrorism more difficult [See, e.g., 3R. Burkholder, "Iraq and the West: How Wide is the Morality Gap," GALLUP, 11/25/03 ("Gallup's Poll of Baghdad asked a representative sample of adults to describe -- in their own words -- what, if anything, they most resent about the West... More than a third (36%) of Baghdad residents said they believe Western culture has undermined moral standards by spreading sexually indecent influences ['pornography' and 'fornication']. Available at <http://www.gallup.com/poll/9763/Iraq-West-How-Wide-Morality-Gap.aspx>)

But, some will say, unlike individuals that sexually abuse children or that view, possess or distribute child pornography, businesses that distribute adult pornography online are not breaking any laws. Perhaps ignorance of the law does explain why some who fight sexual exploitation of children turn a blind eye to the problem of hardcore adult pornography.

The truth of the matter is, however, that in 1996 Congress amended two sections of the federal criminal obscenity laws (18 USC 1462 & 1465) to clarify that distribution of obscene matter is prohibited on the Internet. In *Miller v. California*, 413 U.S. 15, 23 (1973), the U.S. Supreme Court has also stated: "This much has been categorically settled by the Court, that obscene material is unprotected by the First Amendment." The *Miller* Court (413 U.S. at 29) went on to define the term "obscene" in a manner intended to restrict the reach of federal and state obscenity laws to "hard-core" pornography." Today, most adult pornography distributed commercially, whether online or off line, is "hardcore." Typical "hardcore pornography" (e.g., a Web site, DVD or magazine) consists of little if anything more than one depiction of hardcore sex after the other (i.e., it's "wall-to-wall" hardcore sex). But, some will say, the porn business is thriving, which is an indication either that "everyone" is viewing it or that the average American no longer deems hardcore pornography unacceptable.

Pornography defenders overlook at least three factors. First, much if not most hardcore adult pornography is consumed by a relatively small percentage of individuals who are addicted to it. Second, just because a person experiments with hardcore adult pornography for a period of time or on occasion succumbs to the temptation to view it does not mean he or she approves of what is viewed, especially when hardcore adult pornographers promote their products aggressively and often deceptively. Third, many visitors to "adult websites" are minors. In recent years, Morality in Media has commissioned Harris Interactive to ask questions about pornography in three different national opinion polls. The results of those polls are as follows:

- In 2005, more than three out of four (77%) adult Americans said they supported the
- Justice Department's then new effort to enforce federal obscenity laws,
- In 2006, almost three in four (73%) adult Americans said they did *not* consider it morally acceptable to view pornographic websites and videos.
- In 2008, three out of four (75%) adult Americans said they would support the next
- President were he to do all in his or constitutional power to ensure that federal obscenity laws are enforced vigorously.

According to a survey conducted by Pew Research Center ("Trends in Political Values and Core Attitudes: 1987-2007"), 70% of adult Americans *disagreed with* the statement, "nude pictures and X-rated videos on the Internet provide harmless entertainment for those who enjoy it." But, some will say, because of limited resources federal and state law prosecutors and law enforcement agencies are right to focus their energies almost exclusively on child molesters and child pornography. There are a number of problems with this particular "justification" for doing next to nothing to curb distribution of hardcore adult pornography.

In the first place, as elaborated on in this report, the explosion of hardcore adult pornography is contributing to sexual exploitation of children in various ways.

In the second place, children are not just harmed by predators; they are also harmed by exposure to hardcore adult pornography.

In the third place, a frequent result of a successful federal obscenity prosecution is a significant fine or forfeiture of property, which can offset in whole or part the cost of these cases.

In the fourth place, it isn't just children who are harmed by hardcore adult pornography. The remainder of this report explores six ways that the explosion of adult pornography on the Internet and elsewhere is contributing to sexual exploitation of children.

I researched and wrote the report because I am convinced that those who fight sexual exploitation of children but who turn their backs to the adult pornography problem are making a tragic mistake.