

SB84
Opponent
Nick Reinecker
03/18/21

House Federal and State Affairs

Chairman Barker and members of the committee

I am Nick Reinecker from Inman, Kansas. I am an opponent to this bill because money being used relating to pathological gambling or Gambling Disorder as defined in the current APA manual needs to be for that exclusive use and not for other addictions. The reasoning behind this is that if you look at the criteria for Gambling Disorder, there appears to be a gap between the cohort that could receive billable diagnostic and treatment codes and those who are currently receiving services. Also, the criteria for Gambling Disorder is double that of a Substance Use Disorder and therefore it is necessary, regardless of the lack and need of specified spending language, that any money allotted to the problem gambling and addictions fund made from gambling/wagering/betting be for problem gambling/pathological gambling/Gambling Disorder alone. This is especially warranted when at the same time prevention and recovery efforts are being discussed an opposite reaction of promotion and marketing this state-owned revenue-generating entertainment that tickles pleasure centers is in full effect, as well.

Thank you

<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK230629/> Pathological Gambling: A Critical Review

<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC1857322/> Medication Management of Pathological Gambling

<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK519704/table/ch3.t39/> DSM-IV to DSM-5 Gambling Disorder Comparison

<https://www.ncpgambling.org/wp-content/uploads/2014/08/DSM-5-Diagnostic-Criteria-Gambling-Disorder.pdf>

http://www.kslegislature.org/li_2020/b2019_20/committees/ctte_h_ins_1/documents/testimony/20200210_35.pdf Nick Reinecker Testimony HB2459 2020, concens about DSM