

SESSION OF 2018

SUPPLEMENTAL NOTE ON SENATE BILL NO. 313

As Recommended by Senate Committee on
Ethics and Elections

Brief*

SB 313 would authorize redevelopment authorities in Johnson and Labette counties to incur debt and issue bonds to pay for the costs of developing and improving properties within those redevelopment districts.

The bill would allow a redevelopment authority to secure indebtedness by lien upon, security interest in, or mortgage of any property owned by the redevelopment authority. The bill would also allow a redevelopment authority to acquire and finance property and improvements through lease-purchase agreements. The bill would require bonds issued for the purposes of developing and improving properties within the redevelopment district to have a maximum maturity of 20 years. The bill would specify any debt incurred would not be considered debt of the state and would be paid by lawful revenue sources of the redevelopment authority.

The bill would require the board of county commissioners to approve acquisition of federal enclave property by the redevelopment authority if the property was part of the Kansas Army Ammunition Plant located in Labette County and other conditions are met.

Background

The bill was introduced in the Senate Committee on Ways and Means. In the Senate Committee on Ethics,

*Supplemental notes are prepared by the Legislative Research Department and do not express legislative intent. The supplemental note and fiscal note for this bill may be accessed on the Internet at <http://www.kslegislature.org>

Elections and Local Government hearing, Senator Goddard and representatives from the Great Plains Development Authority provided proponent testimony stating the bill would provide another tool to assist the development authority in bringing jobs to southeast Kansas.

The Senate Committee recommended the bill be placed on the Consent Calendar.

According to the fiscal note prepared by the Division of the Budget, the Kansas Association of Counties indicates the bill would have a fiscal effect on Johnson and Labette counties because the bill would expand the purposes for which redevelopment authorities in those counties could incur debt. The League of Kansas Municipalities indicates the bill would have no fiscal effect on Kansas cities. The Department of Revenue indicates the bill would have no fiscal effect on the agency or on the state levies for the two state building funds.